arXiv:hep-th/9802058v1 9 Feb 1998

Vertex algebras, Lie algebras and superstrings

Dissertation
zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades
des Fachbereichs Physik
der Universität Hamburg

vorgelegt von
Nils R. Scheithauer
aus Marburg

Hamburg
1997

Gutachter der Dissertation:	Prof. Dr. H. Nicolai Prof. Dr. G. Mack
Gutachter der Disputation:	Prof. Dr. H. Nicolai Prof. Dr. K. Fredenhagen
Datum der Disputation:	23.1.98
Dekan des Fachbereichs Physik und Vorsitzender des Promotionsausschusses:	Prof. Dr. B. Kramer

Abstract

This work deals with certain vertex algebras and Lie algebras arising in superstring theory.

We show that the Fock space of a compactified Neveu-Schwarz superstring, i.e. a Neveu-Schwarz superstring moving on a torus, carries the structure of a vertex superalgebra with a Neveu-Schwarz element. This implies that the physical states of such a string form a Lie algebra. The same is true for the GSO-projected states. The structure of these Lie algebras is investigated in detail. In particular there is a natural invariant form on them. In case that the torus has Lorentzian signature the quotient of these Lie algebras by the kernel of this form is a generalized Kac-Moody algebra. The roots can be easily described. If the dimension of space-time is smaller than or equal to 10 we can even determine their multiplicities.

Zusammenfassung

In dieser Arbeit werden gewisse Vertex-Algebren und Lie-Algebren, die in der Superstring-Theorie auftauchen, untersucht.

Es wird gezeigt, daß der Fockraum eines kompaktifizierten Neveu-Schwarz-Superstrings, d.h. eines Neveu-Schwarz-Superstrings, der sich auf einem Torus bewegt, die Struktur einer Vertex-Superalgebra mit Neveu-Schwarz-Element besitzt. Damit können wir beweisen, daß die physikalischen Zustände eines solchen Strings eine Lie-Algebra bilden. Dasselbe gilt für die Zustände invariant unter der GSO-Projektion. Diese beiden Lie-Algebren werden genau untersucht. Es wird eine kanonische invariante Bilinearform auf diesen Lie-Algebren konstruiert. Hat der Torus lorentzsche Metrik, so ist der Quotient dieser Lie-Algebren mit dem Kern der Bilinearform eine verallgemeinerte Kac-Moody-Algebra. Die Wurzeln dieser Lie-Algebra lassen sich leicht angeben. Ist die Dimension des Torus kleiner oder gleich 10, so lassen sich sogar deren Multiplizitäten berechnen.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Vertex superalgebras **5**

2.1 Definition and some properties 5

2.2 Virasoro elements 8

2.3 Neveu-Schwarz elements 10

2.4 Invariant bilinear forms 13

2.5 Bosonic construction 19

2.6 Fermionic construction 25

3 The Neveu-Schwarz superstring **31**

3.1 Definition and some properties 31

3.2 Physical states and Lie algebras 34

3.3 The Lorentzian case 42

4 Outlook **47**

A Physical subspaces **49**

A.1 The bosonic case 49

A.2 The Neveu-Schwarz case 53

References **55**

Chapter 1

Introduction

Lie algebras were introduced in mathematics 1888 by S. Lie to describe continous groups infinitesimally. Since then they play an important role in many branches of mathematics and also in physics.

The theory of finite-dimensional Lie algebras is nowadays well understood and one of the most beautiful theories in mathematics. The contrary is true for the theory of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. Even if one only considers the class of Kac-Moody algebras there are many open problems. The main reason for this is that we do not know natural realizations of them apart from the finite-dimensional and the affine Kac-Moody algebras. On the other hand the big success of the latter is due to the isomorphism of affine algebras and current algebras. This can be interpreted in the way that the class of Kac-Moody algebras is too restricted as to contain further natural examples.

One solution to this problem was shown by R. E. Borcherds in a series of papers ([Bo1]-[Bo5]). He defined the bigger class of generalized Kac-Moody algebras, showed that most of the nice properties of Kac-Moody algebras still hold and gave some very interesting examples, a.o. the famous monster Lie algebra and the fake monster Lie algebra. In the construction of the examples string theory and vertex algebras came into play.

Let us describe this in more detail.

Borcherds defined the notion of a vertex algebra with Virasoro element and stated the following results:
1) The Fock space of a compactified bosonic string, i.e. a bosonic string

moving on a torus, carries this structure.
2) The natural bilinear form on this vertex algebra is invariant.
He showed that the physical states P_1 of the bosonic string modulo the null states $L_{-1}P_0$ form a Lie algebra.
Then he defined the notion of a generalized Kac-Moody algebra and proved that $P_1/L_{-1}P_0$ devided by the kernel of the bilinear form is a generalized Kac-Moody algebra if the metric of the torus is Lorentzian. He gave the multiplicities for the cases $d \leq 26$.

In this work we develop similar ideas for the Neveu-Schwarz superstring. Thereby we also prove some of Borcherds statements for which no proof has yet been published.

The work is organized as follows.

In the second chapter we list some facts about vertex superalgebras and prove a number of new results. In particular we show that the quotient space $G = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}/L_{-1}\mathsf{P}_0$ of a vertex superalgebra with Neveu-Schwarz element is a Lie algebra. Furthermore we develop a theory of invariant bilinear forms on vertex superalgebras and determine the space of such forms. As an easy consequence the bilinear form defined by Borcherds in [Bo1] does indeed have the claimed invariance property.

In the third chapter we define the vertex superalgebra of the compactified Neveu-Schwarz superstring and show that it contains a Neveu-Schwarz element. This gives us the Lie algebra G of physical states of the compactified Neveu-Schwarz superstring. We show that it contains a Kac-Moody algebra generated by the tachyon and the first excited states and give an upper bound for the multiplicities. Then we prove that the states invariant under the GSO-projection also form a Lie algebra G^+. With the theorem on the existence of invariant forms we construct an invariant form on the Lie algebras G and G^+. Using a characterization of generalized Kac-Moody algebras due to Borcherds we prove that in the case of Lorentzian space-time the quotients of these Lie algebras by the kernel of this bilinear form are generalized Kac-Moody algebras. Finally we give the roots of these algebras and determine their multiplicities in the cases $d \leq 10$. The results in this chapter are all new.

Some ideas on the construction of generalized Kac-Moody superalgebras describing the full superstring moving on a torus and a fake monster superalgebra are presented in chapter 4.

In the appendix we calculate the dimensions of physical subspaces since we need them to compute the multiplicities. Moreover we prove Borcherds result on the multiplicities.

Chapter 2 and 3 of this work will appear in the "Journal of Algebra" (cf. [NRS]).

Chapter 2

Vertex superalgebras

In this chapter we recall some basic facts about vertex superalgebras. Furthermore we prove some new results that are crucial for the construction of the generalized Kac-Moody algebras. In particular we will show that the quotient space $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}/L_{-1}\mathsf{P}_0$ in a vertex superalgebra with a Neveu-Schwarz element is a Lie algebra and we determine the space of invariant forms on a vertex superalgebra.

For an introduction into vertex algebras and vertex superalgebras consider [FLM],[FHL] and [K2]. Proofs which are not given here can be found there.

2.1 Definition and some properties

In the following we will work over the real numbers.

In a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vectorspace $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ we put $|v| = 0$ if $v \in V_{\bar{0}}$ and $|v| = 1$ if $v \in V_{\bar{1}}$. Elements in $V_{\bar{0}}$ or $V_{\bar{1}}$ are called homogeneous. Whenever $|v|$ is written, it is to be understood that v is homogeneous.

A vertex superalgebra is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vectorspace $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ equipped with an infinite number of products, written as $u_n v$ for $u, v \in V$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfying the following axioms

1. The products respect the grading, i.e. $|u_n v| = |u| + |v|$.

2. $u_n v = 0$ for n sufficiently large

3. There is an element $1 \in V_{\overline{0}}$, called vacuum, such that $v_n 1 = 0$ for $n \geq 0$ and $v_{-1}1 = v$

4. The Jacobi identity

$$\sum_{0\leq k} \binom{m}{k} (u_{l+k}v)_{m+n-k}w =$$

$$\sum_{0\leq k} \binom{l}{k} (-1)^k \left\{ u_{m+l-k}(v_{n+k}w) - (-1)^l (-1)^{|u||v|} v_{n+l-k}(u_{m+k}w) \right\}$$

   holds for all integers l, m and n.

u_n can be considered as an endomorphism of V mapping v into $u_n v$. This gives another approach to vertex superalgebras by vertex operators $Y(u,z) = \sum u_n z^{-n-1}$ which are formal Laurent series in z with coefficients in $End(V)$. We will use both notations.

One can show that the Jacobi identity is equivalent to the locality condition

$$(z_1 - z_2)^n [Y(u,z_1), Y(v,z_2)]_{\mp} = 0 \qquad \text{for } n \text{ sufficiently large.}$$

This gives Kac' definition of a vertex superalgebra.

A vertex algebra (cf. [Bo2]) is a vertex superalgebra with trivial odd part $V_{\overline{1}}$.

With $l = 0$ the Jacobi identity yields the commutator formula

$$[u_m, v_n]_{\mp} = \sum_{0\leq k} \binom{m}{k} (u_k v)_{m+n-k} \tag{2.1}$$

where $[u_m, v_n]_{\mp} = u_m v_n - (-1)^{|u||v|} v_n u_m$ and with $m = 0$ the associativity formula

$$(u_l v)_n = \sum_{0\leq k} \binom{l}{k} (-1)^k \left\{ u_{l-k} v_{n+k} - (-1)^l (-1)^{|u||v|} v_{n+l-k} u_k \right\}. \tag{2.2}$$

We define a linear operator D on V by $Dv = v_{-2}1$. Note that D preserves the spaces $V_{\overline{0}}$ and $V_{\overline{1}}$. The following proposition summarizes some properties of the products and the operator D.

Proposition 2.1
Let V be a vertex superalgebra. Then for $u, v \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} 1_n v &= \delta_{n+1,0} v && (2.3) \\ (Dv)_n &= -n v_{n-1} && (2.4) \\ D(u_n v) &= (Du)_n v + u_n (Dv) && (2.5) \end{aligned}$$

and for homogeneous u and v

$$u_n v - (-1)^{n+1} (-1)^{|u||v|} v_n u = (-1)^{n+1} (-1)^{|u||v|} \sum_{1 \leq k} (-1)^k \frac{D^k}{k!} (v_{n+k} u). \quad (2.6)$$

Eq. (2.5) means that D is for each product an even derivation. It implies $D(1) = D(1_{-1}1) = 1_{-1}(D1) + (D1)_{-1}1 = 2D(1) = 0$. In terms of vertex operators the equations (2.4) to (2.6) can be written

$$\begin{aligned} Y(Dv, z) &= \frac{d}{dz} Y(v, z) && (2.7) \\ [D, Y(v, z)] &= Y(Dv, z) && (2.8) \\ Y(u, z)v &= (-1)^{|u||v|} e^{zD} Y(v, -z)u. && (2.9) \end{aligned}$$

An ideal of a vertex superalgebra V is a D-invariant subspace J such that $J = J_{\overline{0}} \oplus J_{\overline{1}}$ where $J_{\overline{\imath}} = J \cap V_{\overline{\imath}}$ and $v_n J \subset J$ for all $v \in V$. V is called simple if it contains only trivial ideals. A homomorphism of V is a linear parity preserving map $\psi : V \to V$ with $\psi(u_n v) = (\psi u)_n (\psi v)$. We have

Proposition 2.2
Let V be a simple vertex superalgebra and $v \neq 0$ an element in $V_{\overline{0}}$ such that $Dv = 0$. Then $v = \lambda 1$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: The map $v_{-1} : V \to V$ is a homomorphism of V (cf. [Li2]). Eq. (2.4) implies $v_n = 0$ for all $n \neq -1$. Then by the commutator formula (2.1) v_{-1} commutes with all u_n. Since $v_{-1}v = (v_{-1}1)_{-1}(v_{-1}1) = v_{-1}(1_{-1}1) = v$ the map v_{-1} has an eigenvalue. The proposition now follows from Schur's lemma. □

Equation (2.6) shows that the products are not symmetric on V. But we have

Proposition 2.3
Let V be a vertex superalgebra. Then V/DV is a Lie superalgebra under $[\overline{u}, \overline{v}] = \overline{u_0 v}$, $u, v \in V$ with even part $V_{\overline{0}}/DV_{\overline{0}}$ and odd part $V_{\overline{1}}/DV_{\overline{1}}$.

Proof: From $DV_{\bar{i}} \subset V_{\bar{i}}$, $i = 0, 1$ it follows $DV \cap V_{\bar{i}} = DV_{\bar{i}}$, $i = 0, 1$ and

$$V/DV = V_{\bar{0}}/DV_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}/DV_{\bar{1}}.$$

From eq. (2.4) and (2.5) we get $(Du)_0 = 0$ and $u_0(Dv) = D(u_0 v)$ for all $u, v \in V$ so that the product is well-defined. Equation (2.6) gives

$$u_0 v + (-1)^{|u||v|} v_0 u = -(-1)^{|u||v|} \sum_{1 \leq k} (-1)^k \frac{D^k}{k!}(v_k u)$$

proving the graded skew-symmetry of the product. The graded Jacobi identity follows from the Jacobi identity on V by taking $l = m = n = 0$. $\Box$

The same equation shows that V is a V/DV-module under the action $u.v = u_0 v$, $u \in V/DV, v \in V$. The natural projection $V \to V/DV$ is a homomorphism of V/DV-modules.

Proposition 2.4
Let $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ and $W = W_{\bar{0}} \oplus W_{\bar{1}}$ be vertex superalgebras. Then

$$(a \otimes b)_n (c \otimes d) = (-1)^{|b||c|} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_k c) \otimes (b_{n-k-1} d) \tag{2.10}$$

where $a, c \in V$ and $b, d \in W$, defines the structure of a vertex superalgebra on $V \otimes W$. The even part is $V_{\bar{0}} \otimes W_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}} \otimes W_{\bar{1}}$ and the odd part $V_{\bar{0}} \otimes W_{\bar{1}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}} \otimes W_{\bar{0}}$. The identity is given by $1 \otimes 1$. If V and W are simple so is $V \otimes W$.

An equivalent formulation of (2.10) is

$$Y(a \otimes b, z) = Y(a, z) \otimes Y(b, z)(-1)^{|b||\cdot|}, \tag{2.11}$$

since $(-1)^{|b||c|} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_k c) \otimes (b_{n-k-1} d)$ is the coefficient of z^{-n-1} in $Y(a, z)c \otimes (-1)^{|b||c|} Y(b, z)d$.

2.2 Virasoro elements

Let $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ be a vertex superalgebra. An element $\omega \in V_{\bar{0}}$ is called Virasoro element of central charge $c \in \mathbb{R}$ if

1. The operators $L_m = \omega_{m+1}$ give a representation of the Virasoro algebra
$$[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n} + \delta_{m+n,0}\tfrac{m^3-m}{12}c.$$
2. $V_{\overline{0}}$ and $V_{\overline{1}}$ can be decomposed into eigenspaces of L_0
$$V_{\overline{0}} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_n \quad \text{and} \quad V_{\overline{1}} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} V_n\,,$$
where $V_n = \{v \in V | L_0 v = nv\}$
3. $D = L_{-1}$

Let V be a vertex superalgebra with Virasoro element ω. The operators L_{-1}, L_0 and L_1 give a representation of the Lie algebra $sl_2\mathbb{R}$. If $v \in V_n$ then $L_1 v \in V_{n-1}$ and $L_{-1}v \in V_{n+1}$. An element $v \in V$ is called quasiprimary if $L_1 v = 0$. The commutator relation of the L_n implies

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_n\omega &= \omega_n(\omega_{-1}1)\\ &= [\omega_n, \omega_{-1}]1 + \omega_{-1}(\omega_n 1)\\ &= (n+1)\omega_{n-2}1 + \delta_{n,3}\tfrac{c}{2}1 + \omega_{-1}(\omega_n 1),\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

so that $L_0\omega = \omega_1\omega = 2\omega$ and $\omega \in V_2 \subset V_{\overline{0}}$ and $L_1\omega = \omega_2\omega = 0$, i.e. ω is quasiprimary. From the commutator formula (2.1) and $\omega_m = L_{m-1}$ we get

$$[L_{m-1}, v_n] = \sum_{k\geq 0}\binom{m}{k}(L_{k-1}v)_{m+n-k} \quad \text{for all } v \in V. \tag{2.13}$$

Eq. (2.4) gives $[L_0, v_n] = -(n+1)v_n + pv_n$ for $v \in V_p$. It follows

$$(V_p)_n\,(V_q) \subset V_{p+q-n-1}. \tag{2.14}$$

Let $P_n = \{v \in V | L_0 v = nv,\ L_m v = 0 \text{ for all } m > 0\}$ for $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. By (2.4)

$$[L_m, v_n] = \{(k-1)(m+1) - n\}v_{m+n} \quad \text{for all } v \in P_k. \tag{2.15}$$

The representation of the Virasoro algebra on V allows us to construct a subalgebra of V/DV.

Proposition 2.5
Let V be a vertex superalgebra with Virasoro element. Then P_1/DP_0 is a Lie algebra.

Proof: Let $u, v \in P_1$ and $n \geq 0$. Then $[L_n, u_0] = 0$ by (2.15) and $L_n(u_0 v) = u_0(L_n v) = \delta_{n,0} u_0 v$ by definition of P_1. This shows that $(P_1)_0(P_1) \subset P_1$. Next we prove $DV_{\overline{0}} \cap P_1 = DP_0$. From $L_n L_{-1} v = (n+1)L_{n-1}v + L_{-1}L_n v$ for all $v \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we get $DP_0 \subset P_1$. Conversly let $v \in V_{\overline{0}}$ such that $Dv \in P_1$. Then $L_n L_{-1} v = 0$ for $n \geq 1$ and $L_{n-1}v = -\frac{1}{n+1}L_{-1}L_n v$ for $n \geq 1$. There is an integer k such that $L_m v = 0$ for all $m \geq k$. It follows $L_m v = 0$ for all $m \geq 0$, so that $v \in P_0$. $\square$

Note that P_1/DP_0 is not a proper Lie superalgebra since P_1 is a subspace of $V_{\overline{0}}$. In bosonic string theory P_1 denotes the space of physical states, so that we will call P_1/DP_0 the Lie algebra of bosonic states.

Proposition 2.6
Let V and W be vertex superalgebras with Virasoro elements ω_V resp. ω_W of central charge c resp. d. Then $V \otimes W$ is a vertex superalgebra with Virasoro element $\omega_V \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \omega_W$ of central charge $c + d$.

2.3 Neveu-Schwarz elements

Let $V = V_{\overline{0}} \oplus V_{\overline{1}}$ be a vertex superalgebra. An element $\tau \in V_{\overline{1}}$ is called Neveu-Schwarz element of central charge $c \in \mathbb{R}$ if

1. $\omega = \frac{1}{2}\tau_0\tau$ is a Virasoro element of central charge c.
2. The operators $L_n = \omega_{n+1}$ and $G_r = \tau_{r+\frac{1}{2}}$ form a Neveu-Schwarz superalgebra

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_m, L_n] &= (m-n)L_{m+n} + \delta_{m+n,0}\frac{m^3-m}{12}c \\
[L_m, G_r] &= (\tfrac{1}{2}m - r)G_{m+r} \\
[G_r, G_s]_+ &= 2L_{r+s} + \tfrac{1}{3}(r^2 - \tfrac{1}{4})\delta_{r+s,0}c
\end{aligned}$$

Let V be a vertex superalgebra with Neveu-Schwarz element τ. The operators $L_0, L_{\pm 1}$ and $G_{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$ give a representation of the Lie superalgebra $osp(1,2)$. From the commutator relations of the L_n and G_r we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_n \tau &= [\omega_n, \tau_{-1}]1 + \tau_{-1}(\omega_n 1) \\
&= (\tfrac{n}{2} + 1)\tau_{n-2}1 + \tau_{-1}(\omega_n 1)
\end{aligned}$$

so that $L_0\tau = \frac{3}{2}\tau$ and $L_1\tau = 0$, i.e. τ is quasiprimary. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_n\tau &= [\tau_n, \tau_{-1}]_+1 - \tau_{-1}(\tau_n 1)\\ &= 2\omega_{n-1}1 + (n^2-n)\delta_{n,2}\tfrac{c}{3}1 - \tau_{-1}(\tau_n 1).\end{aligned}$$

As above we find

$$[G_{m-\frac{1}{2}}, v_n]_\mp = \sum_{0\le k}\binom{m}{k}(G_{k-\frac{1}{2}}v)_{m+n-k} \tag{2.16}$$

with $-$ for $|v| = 0$ and $+$ for $|v| = 1$. For $m = 0$ we get $[G_{-\frac{1}{2}}, v_n]_\mp = (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v)_n$ or in terms of vertex operators

$$[G_{-\frac{1}{2}}, Y(v,z)]_\mp = Y(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v, z). \tag{2.17}$$

Define $\mathsf{P}_n = \{v \in V | L_0 v = nv,\, L_m v = G_r v = 0 \text{ for all } m, r > 0\}$ for $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. As a consequence of the Neveu-Schwarz algebra $\mathsf{P}_n = \{v \in V | L_0 v = nv,\, G_{\frac{1}{2}}v = G_{\frac{3}{2}}v = 0\}$. The following lemma describes the analogue of (2.15).

Lemma 2.7
Let V be a vertex superalgebra with Neveu-Schwarz element. Then

$$[G_{m-\frac{1}{2}}, (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v)_n]_\mp = \{2mk - (m+n)\}v_{m+n-1} \tag{2.18}$$

for $v \in \mathsf{P}_k$ with $-$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $+$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof: Let $v \in \mathsf{P}_k$. Then $G_{\frac{1}{2}}G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v = 2L_0 v - G_{-\frac{1}{2}}G_{\frac{1}{2}}v = 2kv$ and $G_r G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v = 2L_{r-\frac{1}{2}}v - G_{-\frac{1}{2}}G_r v = 0$ for $r > \frac{1}{2}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}&[G_{m-\frac{1}{2}}, (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v)_n]_\mp\\ &= \sum_{k\ge 0}\binom{m}{k}(G_{k-\frac{1}{2}}G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v)_{m+n-k}\\ &= (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v)_{m+n} + m(G_{\frac{1}{2}}G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v)_{m+n-1}\\ &= (L_{-1}v)_{m+n} + 2mkv_{m+n-1}\\ &= (Dv)_{m+n} + (m+n)v_{m+n-1} + \{2mk-(m+n)\}v_{m+n-1}\\ &= \{2mk-(m+n)\}v_{m+n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

□

We now construct a subalgebra of P_1/DP_0 with the help of the Neveu-Schwarz algebra.

Proposition 2.8
Let V be a vertex superalgebra with Neveu-Schwarz element. Then $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}/D\mathsf{P}_0$ is a Lie algebra.

Proof: Let $u, v \in \mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}u)_0(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v) &= [G_{-\frac{1}{2}}, u_0]_+ G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v \\
&= G_{-\frac{1}{2}}(u_0 G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v) + u_0(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v) \\
&= G_{-\frac{1}{2}}(u_0 G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v) + u_0 L_{-1}v \\
&= G_{-\frac{1}{2}}(u_0 G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v) + L_{-1}u_0 v \\
&= G_{-\frac{1}{2}}(u_0 G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v + G_{-\frac{1}{2}}u_0 v) \\
&= G_{-\frac{1}{2}}([G_{-\frac{1}{2}}, u_0]_+ v) \\
&= G_{-\frac{1}{2}}((G_{-\frac{1}{2}}u)_0 v),
\end{aligned}$$

since $[u_0, L_{-1}] = 0$ by (2.15). From $L_0(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}u) = [L_0, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}]u + G_{-\frac{1}{2}}L_0 u = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}u$ follows $(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}u)_0 v \in V_{1+\frac{1}{2}-1} = V_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Now by Lemma (2.7) G_r anticommutes with $(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}u)_0$, so that $G_r((G_{-\frac{1}{2}}u)_0 v) = -(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}u)_0(G_r v) = 0$ for all $r > 0$. Hence $(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}u)_0 v$ is in $\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}})_0(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \subset (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}})$. Let $v \in \mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $L_0(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v) = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v$ and $L_n(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v) = [L_n, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}]v + G_{-\frac{1}{2}}L_n v = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)G_{n-\frac{1}{2}}v + G_{-\frac{1}{2}}L_n v = 0$ for $n > 0$ show that

$$G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset P_1\,. \tag{2.19}$$

It remains to show that $DP_0 \cap G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}} = D\mathsf{P}_0$. Let $v \in \mathsf{P}_0$. Then $L_0 G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v = [L_0, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}]v + G_{-\frac{1}{2}}L_0 v = \frac{1}{2}G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v$ and $G_r G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v = 2L_{r-\frac{1}{2}}v - G_{-\frac{1}{2}}G_r v = 0$ for $r > 0$, so that $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_0 \subset \mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since P_0 is a subspace of P_0 we have

$$G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_0 \subset \left(\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}} \cap G_{-\frac{1}{2}}P_0\right).$$

Applying $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ to both sides gives

$$G_{-\frac{1}{2}}G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_0 \subset G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}} \cap G_{-\frac{1}{2}}P_0\right) \subset \left(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}} \cap G_{-\frac{1}{2}}G_{-\frac{1}{2}}P_0\right).$$

$D = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\,2}$ implies $D\mathsf{P}_0 \subset (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}} \cap DP_0)$. Now let $u \in P_0$ such that there is a $v \in \mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ with $Du = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v$. Then $G_r Du = G_r G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v = -G_{-\frac{1}{2}}G_r v + 2L_{r-\frac{1}{2}}v = 0$ for $r > \frac{1}{2}$. From $[D, G_r] = (-\frac{1}{2} - r)G_{r-1}$ for all r, we get

$G_{r-1}u = -\frac{1}{r+\frac{1}{2}}DG_r u$ for all $r > \frac{1}{2}$. Since there is an s such that $G_r u = 0$ for all $r > s$, this formula implies $G_r u = 0$ for $r > 0$. Hence $u \in \mathsf{P}_0$ and $(DP_0 \cap G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \subset D\mathsf{P}_0$. □

$\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ can be interpreted as the space of physical states of the Neveu-Schwarz superstring. We will call $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}/D\mathsf{P}_0$ Lie algebra of Neveu-Schwarz states.

Note that $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is injective on $\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ for if $v \in \mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ such that $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v = 0$ then $0 = G_{\frac{1}{2}}G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v = [G_{\frac{1}{2}}, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}]_+ v = 2L_0 v = v$.

The following analogue of Proposition (2.6) is easy to prove.

Proposition 2.9
Let V and W be vertex superalgebras with Neveu-Schwarz elements τ_V resp. τ_W of central charge c resp. d. Then $V \otimes W$ is a vertex superalgebra with Neveu-Schwarz element $\tau_V \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tau_W$ of central charge $c + d$.

2.4 Invariant bilinear forms

Let V be a vertex superalgebra with Virasoro element. Suppose that L_1 acts locally nilpotent on V. Fix a nonzero constant λ and define the opposite vertex operator of $v \in V$ by

$$Y^*(v,z) = Y(e^{-\lambda^{-2}zL_1}(-\lambda^{-1}z)^{-2L_0}v, -\lambda^2 z^{-1}) \tag{2.20}$$

and the components v_n^* of v by

$$Y^*(v,z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} v_n^* z^{-n-1}. \tag{2.21}$$

If $v \in V_p$ then

$$v_n^* = \lambda^{-2p}(-\lambda^2)^{n+1} \sum_{m\geq 0} \left(\frac{L_1^m}{m!}v\right)_{2p-n-m-2} \tag{2.22}$$

is a well-defined endomorphism of V and

$$v_n^* w \in V_{q-p+n+1} \tag{2.23}$$

for $w \in V_q$. For a quasiprimary element $v \in V_p$ equation (2.22) reduces to

$$v_n^* = \lambda^{-2p}(-\lambda^2)^{n+1} v_{2p-n-2}, \tag{2.24}$$

e.g. $\omega_n^* = \lambda^{-4}(-\lambda^2)^{n+1}\omega_{2-n}$. If we define $L_n^* = \omega_{n+1}^*$ then $L_n^* = (-\lambda^2)^n L_{-n}$. The opposite vertex operators satisfy the following conjugation formula (cf. [K2])

$$e^{\lambda L_{-1}} e^{\lambda^{-1}L_1} e^{\lambda L_{-1}} Y(v,z) e^{-\lambda L_{-1}} e^{-\lambda^{-1}L_1} e^{-\lambda L_{-1}} = Y^*(v,z). \tag{2.25}$$

It implies that $v_n^* w = 0$ for n big enough. Further properties of opposite vertex operators are summarized in the following

Proposition 2.10
Let V be a vertex superalgebra with Virasoro element such that L_1 acts locally nilpotent. Then for u, v in V and l, m, n in $\mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} 1_n^* &= (-\lambda^2)^{n+1}\delta_{n+1,0} && (2.26)\\ (Du)_n^* &= -n u_{n-1}^* && (2.27)\\ \sum_{0\le k}\binom{m}{k}&(v_{l+k}u)_{m+n-k}^* && (2.28)\\ &= \sum_{0\le k}\binom{l}{k}(-1)^k\left\{(-1)^{|u||v|}u_{n+k}^* v_{l+m-k}^* - (-1)^l v_{m+k}^* u_{l+n-k}^*\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: The equation $1_n = \delta_{n+1,0}$ implies (2.26). Since the operators L_{-1}, L_0 and L_1 give a representation of sl_2 they satisfy (cf. [FHL])

$$L_{-1}L_1^n = L_1^n L_{-1} - 2nL_1^{n-1}L_0 + n(n-1)L_1^{n-1}.$$

Inserting this into (2.22) yields the second equation. The Jacobi identity on V gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{\lambda^2 z_0}{z_1 z_2}\right)^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-\lambda^2/z_1+\lambda^2/z_2}{\lambda^2 z_0/z_1 z_2}\right) Y(e^{-\lambda^{-2}z_1L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}z_1)^{-2L_0}u, -\lambda^2/z_1)\\ &\qquad\qquad Y(e^{-\lambda^{-2}z_2L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}z_2)^{-2L_0}v, -\lambda^2/z_2)\\ &-(-1)^{|u||v|}\left(\frac{\lambda^2 z_0}{z_1 z_2}\right)^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-\lambda^2/z_2+\lambda^2/z_1}{-\lambda^2 z_0/z_1 z_2}\right)\\ &Y(e^{-\lambda^{-2}z_2L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}z_2)^{-2L_0}v, -\lambda^2/z_2) Y(e^{-\lambda^{-2}z_1L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}z_1)^{-2L_0}u, -\lambda^2/z_1)\\ =\ &\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{z_2}\right)^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-\lambda^2/z_1-\lambda^2 z_0/z_1 z_2}{-\lambda^2/z_2}\right)\\ &\quad Y\Big(Y(e^{-\lambda^{-2}z_1L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}z_1)^{-2L_0}u, \lambda^2 z_0/z_1 z_2)\\ &\qquad\qquad e^{-\lambda^{-2}z_2L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}z_2)^{-2L_0}v, -\lambda^2/z_2\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides with $-\lambda^2/z_1z_2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{|u||v|} z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right) Y^*(v,z_2)Y^*(u,z_1) \\
&-z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right) Y^*(u,z_1)Y^*(v,z_2) \\
=\ & z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2+z_0}{z_1}\right) Y\Big(Y(e^{-\lambda^{-2}z_1L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}z_1)^{-2L_0}u, \lambda^2 z_0/z_1z_2) \\
&\qquad\qquad e^{-\lambda^{-2}z_2L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}z_2)^{-2L_0}v, -\lambda^2/z_2\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

The equations

$$\begin{aligned}
z^{2L_0}Y(v,z_0)z^{-2L_0} &= Y(z^{2L_0}v, z^2z_0) \\
e^{zL_1}Y(v,z_0)z^{-zL_1} &= Y(e^{z(1-zz_0)L_1}(1-zz_0)^{-2L_0}v, z/1-zz_0)
\end{aligned}$$

(cf. [FHL] and [K2]) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{-\lambda^{-2}z_2L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}z_2)^{-2L_0}Y(u,z_0)(-\lambda^{-1}z_2)^{2L_0}e^{\lambda^{-2}z_2L_1} \\
&\quad = Y\Big(e^{-\lambda^{-2}(z_2+z_0)L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}(z_2+z_0))^{-2L_0}u, \lambda^2z_0/(z_2+z_0)z_2\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y\Big(e^{-\lambda^{-2}(z_2+z_0)L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}(z_2+z_0))^{-2L_0}u, \lambda^2z_0/(z_2+z_0)z_2\Big)e^{-\lambda^{-2}z_2L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}z_2)^{-2L_0} \\
&\qquad = e^{-\lambda^{-2}z_2L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}z_2)^{-2L_0}Y(u,z_0)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2+z_0}{z_1}\right) Y\Big(Y(e^{-\lambda^{-2}z_1L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}z_1)^{-2L_0}u, \lambda^2 z_0/z_1z_2) \\
&\qquad\qquad e^{-\lambda^{-2}z_2L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}z_2)^{-2L_0}v, -\lambda^2/z_2\Big) \\
=\ & z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2+z_0}{z_1}\right) \\
& Y\Big(Y(e^{-\lambda^{-2}(z_2+z_0)L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}(z_2+z_0))^{-2L_0}u, \lambda^2z_0/(z_2+z_0)z_2) \\
&\qquad\qquad e^{-\lambda^{-2}z_2L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}z_2)^{-2L_0}v, -\lambda^2/z_2\Big) \\
=\ & z_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2+z_0}{z_1}\right) Y(e^{-\lambda^{-2}z_2L_1}(-\lambda^{-1}z_2)^{-2L_0}Y(u,z_0)v, -\lambda^2/z_2) \\
=\ & z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right) Y^*(Y(u,z_0)v, z_2)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $z_1^{-1}\delta(\frac{z_2+z_0}{z_1}) = z_2^{-1}\delta(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2})$. Putting this into (2.29) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^{|u||v|} z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right) Y^*(v,z_2)Y^*(u,z_1) \\ &-z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right) Y^*(u,z_1)Y^*(v,z_2) \\ = \quad & z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right) Y^*(Y(u,z_0)v,z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying this equation with $z_0^l z_1^m z_2^n$ and evaluating $Res_{z_2} Res_{z_1} Res_{z_0}$ of this expression gives the last equation of the proposition with u and v interchanged. □

Putting $l = 0$ in (2.28) gives the commutator formula

$$[v_m^*, u_n^*]_{\mp} = -\sum_{0\le k} \binom{m}{k} (v_k u)_{m+n-k}^*. \tag{2.30}$$

Taking $v = \omega$ and using (2.27) yields

$$[L_1, u_n^*] = -\lambda^2 n u_{n-1}^*. \tag{2.31}$$

As a consequence we get $u_n^* 1 = 0$ for $n \ge 0$. Putting $m = 0$ in (2.28) gives the associativity formula

$$(v_l u)_n^* = \sum_{0\le k} \binom{l}{k} (-1)^k \left\{ (-1)^{|u||v|} u_{n+k}^* v_{l-k}^* - (-1)^l v_k^* u_{l+n-k}^* \right\} \tag{2.32}$$

A bilinear form (,) on V is called invariant if

$$(u_n v, w) = (-1)^{|u||v|}(v, u_n^* w) \tag{2.33}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that the invariance condition implies $(L_n V_n, 1) = 0$ for $n \le 1$.

Lemma 2.11
Let $m \ge 0$. Then for $p \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $u, v \in V_p$

$$(L_1^m u)_{2p-m-1} v - (L_1^m v)_{2p-m-1} u \in L_1 V_1 + L_{-1} V_{-1}. \tag{2.34}$$

Proof: By induction. Note that $(-1)^{2p}(-1)^{|u||v|} = 1$ so that formula (2.6) gives

$$u_{2p-1}v - v_{2p-1}u = \sum_{j\geq 1}(-1)^j \frac{L_{-1}^j}{j!} v_{2p-1+j}u$$

which is in $L_{-1}V_{-1}$. This proves the case $m = 0$. From (2.13) we get

$$[L_1, u_n] = \{2p - (n+2)\}u_{n+1} + (L_1u)_n, \tag{2.35}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}(L_1u)_{2p-2}v - (L_1v)_{2p-2}u &= [L_1, u_{2p-2}]v - [L_1, v_{2p-2}]u \\ &= L_1u_{2p-2}v - L_1v_{2p-2}u - u_{2p-2}L_1v + v_{2p-2}L_1u.\end{aligned}$$

Using again (2.6) we find $-u_{2p-2}L_1v + v_{2p-2}L_1u = -u_{2p-2}L_1v - (L_1u)_{2p-2}v$ modulo some element in $L_{-1}V_{-1}$. But $u_{2p-2}L_1v + (L_1u)_{2p-2}v = L_1u_{2p-2}v$. This shows that $(L_1u)_{2p-2}v - (L_1v)_{2p-2}u \in L_1V_1 + L_{-1}V_{-1}$ and (2.34) is true for $m = 1$. Now suppose that (2.34) is true for $m - 1$. Then by (2.35)

$$\begin{aligned}&(L_1^m u)_{2p-m-1}v - (L_1^m v)_{2p-m-1}u = \\ &\quad (m-1)\left\{(L_1^{m-1}u)_{2p-m}v - (L_1^{m-1}v)_{2p-m}u\right\} \\ &\quad +[L_1, (L_1^{m-1}u)_{2p-m-1}]v - [L_1, (L_1^{m-1}v)_{2p-m-1}]u.\end{aligned}$$

The first term is in $L_1V_1 + L_{-1}V_{-1}$ by the induction hypothesis. Thus we only need to consider the term $-(L_1^{m-1}u)_{2p-m-1}L_1v + (L_1^{m-1}v)_{2p-m-1}L_1u$. L_1u and L_1v are in V_{p-1}. Applying the induction hypothesis with $m-2$ on these elements shows that this term is also in $L_1V_1 + L_{-1}V_{-1}$. □

Now we can prove the following

Proposition 2.12
Let V be a vertex superalgebra with Virasoro element such that L_1 acts locally nilpotent and let $(\, , \,)$ be an invariant form on V. Then

1. $(V_p, V_q) = 0$ *for* $p \neq q$
2. $(u, v) = (v, u)$ *for* $u, v \in V$

Proof: Let $u \in V_p$ and $v \in V_q$. Then $0 = (L_0u, v) - (u, L_0v) = (p-q)(u, v)$ since $L_0^* = L_0$. It is sufficient to prove the symmetry for $u, v \in V_p$. By Lemma 2.11

$$u_{-1}^*v - v_{-1}^*u = \lambda^{-2p}\left\{\sum_{m\geq 0}\left(\frac{L_1^m}{m!}u\right)_{2p-m-1} v - \sum_{m\geq 0}\left(\frac{L_1^m}{m!}v\right)_{2p-m-1} u\right\}$$

is an element of $L_1V_1+L_{-1}V_{-1}$ so that $(u,v)-(v,u)=(1,u^*_{-1}v-v^*_{-1}u)=0$. $\square$

Define a linear functional $f:V_0\to\mathbb{R}$ by $f(u)=(1,u)=(u,1)$ for $u\in V_0$. Let M be the vector space generated by the elements u^*_nv with $u\in V_p$, $v\in V_q$, $q-p+n+1=0$ and $n\geq 0$. Then $0=(u_n1,v)=(1,u^*_nv)=f(u^*_nv)$ shows that M is in the kernel of f. We will now show that

$$L_1V_1=L_1V_1+L_{-1}V_{-1}=M. \tag{2.36}$$

To do so we will establish $L_1V_1\subset L_1V_1+L_{-1}V_{-1}\subset M\subset L_1V_1$. The first inclusion is clear. The second follows from $L_nV_n=\omega_{n+1}V_n=\omega^*_{1-n}V_n\subset M$ for $1-n\geq 0$ resp. $n\leq 1$. Let $u\in V_p$, $v\in V_q$, $q-p+n+1=0$ and $n\geq 0$. Then by (2.31)

$$\begin{aligned} u^*_nv &= -\frac{1}{\lambda^2(n+1)}[L_1,u^*_{n+1}]v \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda^2(n+1)}(L_1u^*_{n+1}v-u^*_{n+1}L_1v). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the same argument to $u^*_{n+1}L_1v, u^*_{n+2}L_1^2v,\ldots$ we find that u^*_nv is in L_1V_1 since $L_1^kv=0$ for k sufficiently large.

Theorem 2.13
Let V be a vertex superalgebra with Virasoro element such that L_1 acts locally nilpotent. Then the space of invariant bilinear forms on V is naturally isomorphic to the dual of V_0/L_1V_1.

Proof: We have already shown that an invariant form determines a functional on V_0 vanishing on L_1V_1. Conversly let f be in the dual of V_0/L_1V_1. Let π be the natural projection of V on V_0/L_1V_1. Then $\pi(u^*_{-1}v)=0$ for $u\in V_p$, $v\in V_q$ and $p\neq q$. Define

$$(u,v)=(f\circ\pi)(u^*_{-1}v)$$

for $u,v\in V$. Then $(\ ,\ )$ is an invariant form on V as we will see. Let $u\in V_p$, $v\in V_q$ and $w\in V_r$. Then (u_nv,w) and (v,u^*_nw) are only nonzero if $p+q-r=n+1$. Suppose that this relation is satisfied. By the associativity formula (2.32)

$$\begin{aligned}
&(u_n v)^*_{-1} - (-1)^{|u||v|} v^*_{-1} u^*_n \\
&\quad = \sum_{0\le k} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k \left\{ (-1)^{|u||v|} v^*_{k-1} u^*_{n-k} - (-1)^n u^*_k v^*_{n-k-1} \right\} \\
&\qquad -(-1)^{|u||v|} v^*_{-1} u^*_n \\
&\quad = -(-1)^n u^*_0 v^*_{n-1} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{1\le k} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k \left\{ (-1)^{|u||v|} v^*_{k-1} u^*_{n-k} - (-1)^n u^*_k v^*_{n-k-1} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

so that $\{(u_n v)^*_{-1} - (-1)^{|u||v|} v^*_{-1} u^*_n\} w$ is in $M = L_1 V_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(u_n v, w) - (-1)^{|u||v|} (v, u^*_n w) \\
&\quad = (1, \{(u_n v)^*_{-1} - (-1)^{|u||v|} v^*_{-1} u^*_n\} w) \\
&\quad = 0\,.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Two remarks should be made on the constant λ. The above results remain true over the complex numbers. If V is a complex vertex superalgebra with Virasoro element such that L_1 is locally nilpotent then the choice $\lambda = i$ gives nice formulas, e.g. (2.22) simplifies to

$$v^*_n = i^{-2p} \sum_{m\ge 0} \left(\frac{L_1^m}{m!} v \right)_{2p-n-m-2} \tag{2.37}$$

for $v \in V_p$. If V is a real vertex algebra, i.e. a real vertex superalgebra with $V_{\bar{1}} = 0$, with Virasoro element such that L_1 is locally nilpotent then (2.37) still makes sense and gives the usual definition of opposite vertex operators. Similar arguments hold in the case that we are only interested in invariant forms on the even part of a vertex superalgebra.

2.5 Bosonic construction

In this section we construct the vertex superalgebra corresponding to an integral lattice. The momenta of a bosonic string moving on a torus ("compactified bosonic string") lie on a lattice, so that this vertex algebra can be regarded as the Fock space of such a string.

Let L be an integral lattice of rank d with nondegenerate bilinear form $\langle\, ,\, \rangle$. We have the coset decomposition

$$L = L_0 \cup L_1$$

with

$$L_i = \{\alpha \in L | \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z} + i\} \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1.$$

We put $h = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and extend the symmetric form of L to h. Define the infinite dimensional Heisenberg algebra

$$\hat{h} = h \otimes \mathbb{R}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{R}c \tag{2.38}$$

with products

$$[h_1(m), h_2(n)] = m\delta_{m+n,0} \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle c, \quad [h_1(m), c] = 0 \tag{2.39}$$

for $h_1, h_2 \in h$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\hat{h}^- = h \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}] \tag{2.40}$$

is an abelian subalgebra of $\hat{h}$, and $S(\hat{h}^-)$ is the symmetric algebra of polynomials in $\hat{h}^-$.

Let $\mathbb{R}[L]$ be the group algebra of L with basis $\{e^\alpha | \, \alpha \in L\}$ and products $e^\alpha e^\beta = e^{\alpha+\beta}$. The vector space

$$V = S(\hat{h}^-) \otimes \mathbb{R}[L] \tag{2.41}$$

decomposes as

$$V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}} \tag{2.42}$$

where

$$V_{\bar{i}} = S(\hat{h}^-) \otimes \mathbb{R}[L_i]. \tag{2.43}$$

$\hat{h}$ acts on V as follows. For $0 < m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \in h$, $u \in S(\hat{h}^-)$, $\alpha \in L$ let

$$\begin{aligned}
k(m) \cdot (u \otimes e^\alpha) &= m(\partial_{k(-m)} u) \otimes e^\alpha && (2.44)\\
k(-m) \cdot (u \otimes e^\alpha) &= (k(-m)u) \otimes e^\alpha && (2.45)\\
k(0) \cdot (u \otimes e^\alpha) &= \langle k, \alpha \rangle u \otimes e^\alpha && (2.46)\\
c \cdot (u \otimes e^\alpha) &= u \otimes e^\alpha. && (2.47)
\end{aligned}$$

Choose a bimultiplicative map $\varepsilon : L \times L \to \{\pm 1\}$ with the properties (cf. [K2] p. 108)

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(\alpha,\beta)\varepsilon(\beta,\alpha)^{-1} &= (-1)^{\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle+\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle\langle\beta,\beta\rangle} && (2.48) \\ \varepsilon(\alpha,\alpha) &= (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle+\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle^2)} \,. && (2.49) \end{aligned}$$

Note that ε is a 2-cocylce and satisfies

$$\varepsilon(0,0) = \varepsilon(\alpha,0) = \varepsilon(0,\alpha) = 1\,. \tag{2.50}$$

This implies

$$1 = \varepsilon(\alpha,0) = \varepsilon(\alpha,\alpha-\alpha) = \varepsilon(\alpha,\alpha)\varepsilon(\alpha,-\alpha)$$

and

$$\varepsilon(\alpha,\alpha) = \varepsilon(\alpha,-\alpha)\,. \tag{2.51}$$

Define a linear operator $\varepsilon_\alpha : V \to V$ for $\alpha \in L$ by

$$\varepsilon_\alpha(u \otimes e^\beta) = \varepsilon(\alpha,\beta) u \otimes e^\beta. \tag{2.52}$$

For $v = 1 \otimes e^\alpha$ put

$$Y(v,z) = \exp\left(\sum_{m\geq 1} \alpha(-m)\frac{z^m}{m}\right) e^\alpha z^{\alpha(0)} \exp\left(-\sum_{m\geq 1} \alpha(m)\frac{z^{-m}}{m}\right) \varepsilon_\alpha\,. \tag{2.53}$$

The first and the last exponentials act on $S(\hat{h}^-)$. The middle operators act on $\mathbb{R}[L]$ by

$$e^\alpha z^{\alpha(0)} \cdot e^\beta = z^{\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle} e^{\alpha+\beta}. \tag{2.54}$$

Expression (2.53) is usually abbreviated $Y(1 \otimes e^\alpha, z) = : \exp(i\alpha X(z)) : \varepsilon_\alpha$. By (2.50) we have

$$Y(1 \otimes e^0, z) = 1. \tag{2.55}$$

For $v = k(-n-1) \otimes e^0$ and $n \geq 0$ we define

$$Y(v,z) = \frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^n \left(\frac{k(z)}{z}\right) \tag{2.56}$$

with

$$k(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} k(n) z^{-n}. \tag{2.57}$$

We now define the bosonic normal ordering for any product of Heisenberg generators by

$$: h_1(n_1) \cdots h_r(n_r) := h_{\sigma 1}(n_{\sigma 1}) \cdots h_{\sigma r}(n_{\sigma r}), \tag{2.58}$$

where $\sigma \in S_r$ is any permutation such that $n_{\sigma 1} \leq \ldots \leq n_{\sigma r}$. This is equivalent to putting all $h(n)$ with $n < 0$ ("creation operators") to the left of those with $n \geq 0$ ("annihilation operators"). The operators e^α commute with $h(n)$ for $n \neq 0$. Define the bosonic normal ordering of any product involving operators $e^\alpha, z^{\beta(0)}$ and $h(n)$ to be the rearranged product with the $h(n)$ normally ordered as above and e^α to the left of all $z^{\beta(0)}$ and all $h(0)$.

For an element of the form

$$v = h_1(-n_1) \cdots h_r(-n_r) \otimes e^\alpha \tag{2.59}$$

define

$$Y(v,z) =: Y(h_1(-n_1) \otimes e^0, z) \cdots Y(h_r(-n_r) \otimes e^0, z) Y(1 \otimes e^\alpha, z) : \tag{2.60}$$

and extend this definition linearly. This gives us a well-defined linear map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Y \ : \ V & \rightarrow & End(V)[\![z, z^{-1}]\!] \\ v & \mapsto & Y(v,z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1}, \quad v_n \in End(V) \end{array}$$

Proposition 2.14
Y provides $V = V_{\overline{0}} \oplus V_{\overline{1}}$ with the structure of a vertex superalgebra. The identity is given by $1 \otimes e^0$. V is simple.

As we will see V even contains a Virasoro element.

Let $\{h^1, \ldots, h^d\}$ be a basis of h and $\{h_1, \ldots, h_d\}$ be the corresponding dual basis of h defined by

$$\langle h^i, h_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \quad i,j = 1, \ldots, d.$$

Then the element

$$\omega = \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} h^i(-1) h_i(-1) \otimes e^0 \in V_{\overline{0}} \tag{2.61}$$

is independent of the choice of basis of h. Using (2.60) we get

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\omega, z) &= \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{d} :h^i(m)h_i(n): z^{-(m+n)-2} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{d} :h^i(m)h_i(k-m): z^{-k-2} \\ &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} L_k z^{-k-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have defined

$$L_k = \omega_{k+1} = \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{d} :h^i(-m)h_i(k+m): \;. \tag{2.62}$$

Note that $\omega = L_{-2}1$. The operator identity

$$[k(m), L_n] = mk(m+n) \tag{2.63}$$

implies that the L_n form a Virasoro algebra of central charge d (cf. [KR]). Taking $n=-1$ we find

$$Y(L_{-1}v, z) = \frac{d}{dz} Y(v,z) \tag{2.64}$$

so that $L_{-1} = D$. Now let $v = h_1(-n_1)\cdots h_r(-n_r) \otimes e^{\alpha}$. Then

$$L_0 v = (n_1 + \ldots + n_r + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha^2) v\,. \tag{2.65}$$

Putting this together gives

Proposition 2.15
ω is a Virasoro element in V.

Equation (2.65) shows that the spectrum of L_0 is in general unbounded and that the eigenspaces of L_0 may be infinite-dimensional.

Let $y \in V$ and $x = k(-n)y$ with $n > 0$, $k \in h$. Then iterating (2.63) gives

$$L_1^q x = \sum_{p=0}^{q} \binom{q}{p} \binom{n}{q-p} (q-p)!\,k(\,-\{n-(q-p)\})L_1^p y\,. \tag{2.66}$$

It follows

Proposition 2.16
L_1 is locally nilpotent on V.

Proof: Let $y = e^\alpha$. Then $L_1 y = 0$. We now prove by induction on m that for $x = k_m(-n_m)\cdots k_1(-n_1) \otimes e^\alpha$ there is a j such that $L^j x = 0$. If $x = k_1(-n_1) \otimes e^\alpha$ then $L^{n_1}x = n_1! k_1(0) e^\alpha = n_1!\langle k_1, \alpha\rangle e^\alpha$ by (2.66) and $L^{n_1+1}x = 0$. Now let $x = k_m(-n_m)\cdots k_1(-n_1) \otimes e^\alpha$ and put $y = k_{m-1}(-n_{m-1})\cdots k_1(-n_1) \otimes e^\alpha$ such that $x = k_m(-n_m)y$. By induction hypothesis there is a j such that $L^j y = 0$. If we choose q such that $q - j > n_m$ then (2.66) shows that $L_1^q x = 0$. □

We consider some examples. Directly from the definition we get

$$k(-1)_n = k(n) \tag{2.67}$$

for $k \in h$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will also need

$$(1 \otimes e^\alpha)_n (1 \otimes e^\beta) = \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) S_{-n-1-\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle}(\alpha) \otimes e^{\alpha+\beta} \tag{2.68}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha, \beta \in L$. Here $S_m(\alpha) = S_m(\alpha(-1), \alpha(-2), \ldots, \alpha(-m))$ denotes the Schur polynomial which is defined by the generating function

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n\geq 1} \alpha(-n)\frac{z^n}{n}\right) = \sum_{m\geq 0} S_m(\alpha(-1), \alpha(-2), \ldots, \alpha(-m)) z^m\,, \tag{2.69}$$

for example,

$$\begin{aligned} S_m(\alpha) &= 0 \quad \text{for } m < 0 && (2.70)\\ S_0(\alpha) &= 1 && (2.71)\\ S_1(\alpha) &= \alpha(-1). && (2.72) \end{aligned}$$

Now the product is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} & Y(1 \otimes e^\alpha, z)(1 \otimes e^\beta) \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{m\geq 1} \alpha(-m)\frac{z^m}{m}\right) e^\alpha z^{\alpha(0)} \exp\left(-\sum_{m\geq 1} \alpha(m)\frac{z^{-m}}{m}\right) \varepsilon_\alpha(1 \otimes \beta) \\ &= \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) \exp\left(\sum_{m\geq 1} \alpha(-m)\frac{z^m}{m}\right) e^{\alpha+\beta} z^{\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle} \\ &= \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) \sum_{m\geq 0} S_m(\alpha) e^{\alpha+\beta} z^{m+\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle} \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of z^{-n-1} on the left hand side is $(1\otimes e^{\alpha})_n(1\otimes e^{\beta})$ and on the right hand side $\varepsilon(\alpha,\beta)S_{-n-1-\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle}(\alpha)\otimes e^{\alpha+\beta}$. This proves (2.68).

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y(h(-1)\otimes e^{\alpha},z)(1\otimes e^{\beta})\\
&\quad= \;:Y(h(-1)\otimes e^{0},z)Y(1\otimes e^{\alpha},z):1\otimes e^{\beta}\\
&\quad= \;:\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}h(n)z^{-n-1}\exp\Big(\sum_{m\geq 1}\alpha(-m)\frac{z^{m}}{m}\Big)e^{\alpha}z^{\alpha(0)}\\
&\qquad\qquad \exp\Big(-\sum_{m\geq 1}\alpha(m)\frac{z^{-m}}{m}\Big)\varepsilon_{\alpha}:1\otimes e^{\beta}\\
&\quad= \;:\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}h(n)z^{-n-1}\exp\Big(\sum_{m\geq 1}\alpha(-m)\frac{z^{m}}{m}\Big)e^{\alpha}z^{\alpha(0)}\varepsilon_{\alpha}:1\otimes e^{\beta}\\
&\quad= \;:h(0)z^{-1}\Big(\sum_{m\geq 0}S_m(\alpha)z^{m}\Big)e^{\alpha}z^{\alpha(0)}\varepsilon_{\alpha}:1\otimes e^{\beta}\\
&\qquad +:\sum_{n\geq 1}h(-n)z^{n-1}\Big(\sum_{m\geq 0}S_m(\alpha)z^{m}\Big)e^{\alpha}z^{\alpha(0)}\varepsilon_{\alpha}:1\otimes e^{\beta}\\
&\quad= \;\varepsilon(\alpha,\beta)\Big\{\sum_{m\geq 0}S_m(\alpha)\langle h,\beta\rangle z^{m+\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle-1}\\
&\qquad\qquad +\sum_{n\geq 1}\sum_{m\geq 0}S_m(\alpha)h(-n)z^{m+n+\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle-1}\Big\}e^{\alpha+\beta}
\end{aligned}$$

$(h(-n)\otimes e^{\alpha})_k(1\otimes e^{\beta})$ is the coefficient of z^{-k-1} in this expression. Hence

$$(h(-n)\otimes e^{\alpha})_k(1\otimes e^{\beta})=0\quad\text{for}\quad\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle-1\geq -k\,. \tag{2.73}$$

In the other cases we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(h(-n)\otimes e^{\alpha})_k(1\otimes e^{\beta}) \qquad (2.74)\\
&\quad=\;\varepsilon(\alpha,\beta)\Big\{\langle h,\beta\rangle S_{-k-\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle}(\alpha)+\sum_{m\geq 0}S_m(\alpha)h(k+\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle+m)\Big\}e^{\alpha+\beta}
\end{aligned}$$

2.6 Fermionic construction

This construction is similar to the bosonic construction. Here we will work with the exterior algebra instead of the symmetric algebra since fermions are anticommuting.

Let V be a real vector space. Define $T^p(V)=V\otimes\cdots\otimes V$ and the tensor algebra $T(V)=\bigoplus_{p\geq 0}T^p(V)$ of V. If I is the ideal of $T(M)$ generated by all elements $v \otimes v$ with $v \in V$, then the exterior algebra $\wedge(V)$ of V is defined by

$$\wedge(V) = T(V)/I. \tag{2.75}$$

Then

$$\wedge(V) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \wedge^p(V) \tag{2.76}$$

with $\wedge^p(V) = T^p(V)/(I \cap T^p(V))$. The product in $\wedge(V)$ is graded commutative, i.e.

$$vw = (-1)^{pq} wv \quad \text{for } v \in \wedge^p(V),\, w \in \wedge^q(V). \tag{2.77}$$

Let A be a finite-dimensional real vector space of dimension d with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle\, ,\, \rangle$. We define the infinite-dimensional Heisenberg superalgebra

$$\hat{A} = A \otimes \mathbb{R}[t, t^{-1}]t^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathbb{R}c \tag{2.78}$$

with even part

$$\hat{A}_{\overline{0}} = \mathbb{R}c \tag{2.79}$$

and odd part

$$\hat{A}_{\overline{1}} = A \otimes \mathbb{R}[t, t^{-1}]t^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.80}$$

and products

$$[a(m), b(n)]_+ = \langle a, b\rangle \delta_{m+n,0}\, c \tag{2.81}$$

$$[a(m), c] = [c, c] = 0\,. \tag{2.82}$$

Then

$$\hat{A}^- = A \otimes \mathbb{R}[t^{-1}]t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.83}$$

is an anticommuting subalgebra of $\hat{A}$, and $V = \wedge(\hat{A}^-)$ the exterior algebra of $\hat{A}^-$. Let

$$V_{\overline{0}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{n \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ n \geq 0}} \wedge^n(\hat{A}^-) \tag{2.84}$$

$$V_{\overline{1}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{n \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1 \\ n \geq 0}} \wedge^n(\hat{A}^-)\,. \tag{2.85}$$

Then

$$V = V_{\overline{0}} \oplus V_{\overline{1}}\,. \tag{2.86}$$

$\hat{A}$ acts canonically on V by

$$\begin{aligned} a(n)\cdot v &= \partial_{a(-n)}v && (2.87)\\ a(-n)\cdot v &= a(-n)v && (2.88)\\ c\cdot v &= v && (2.89) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \hat{A}$, $v \in V$ and $n > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. Here the operator $\partial_{a(-n)}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{a(-n)}1 &= 0 && (2.90)\\ \partial_{a(-n)}b(-m) &= \langle a, b\rangle \delta_{mn} && (2.91)\\ \partial_{a(-n)}(vw) &= (\partial_{a(-n)}v)w + (-1)^{|v|}v(\partial_{a(-n)}w)\,. && (2.92) \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \in \mathbb{R} = \wedge^0(\hat{A}^-) \subset V_{\bar{0}}$ put

$$Y(1, z) = 1\,. \tag{2.93}$$

For $a \in A$, $0 \le n \in \mathbb{Z}$ let

$$Y(a(-n-\tfrac{1}{2}), z) = \frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^n \left(\frac{a(z)}{z^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \tag{2.94}$$

where

$$a(z) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} a(m)z^{-m}\,. \tag{2.95}$$

We define the fermionic normal ordering

$${}^\circ_\circ a_1(n_1)\cdots a_r(n_r){}^\circ_\circ = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)a_{\sigma 1}(n_{\sigma 1})\cdots a_{\sigma r}(n_{\sigma r}) \tag{2.96}$$

for $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in A$, $n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}$, where $\sigma \in S_r$ is any permutation such that $n_{\sigma 1} \le \ldots \le n_{\sigma r}$. Note that ${}^\circ_\circ a_1(n_1)a_2(n_2){}^\circ_\circ = -{}^\circ_\circ a_2(n_2)a_1(n_1){}^\circ_\circ$.

For $0 \le n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$v = a_1(-n_1-\tfrac{1}{2})\cdots a_r(-n_r-\tfrac{1}{2}) \tag{2.97}$$

define

$$Y(v, z) = {}^\circ_\circ\, Y(a(-n_1-\tfrac{1}{2}), z)\cdots Y(a(-n_r-\tfrac{1}{2}), z)\, {}^\circ_\circ\,. \tag{2.98}$$

As in the bosonic case this gives us a well-defined linear map

$$\begin{aligned} Y\ :\ V &\rightarrow End(V)[\![z, z^{-1}]\!]\\ v &\mapsto Y(v, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1}, \quad v_n \in End(V) \end{aligned}$$

and

Proposition 2.17
Y provides $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ with the structure of a vertex superalgebra. V is simple.

It is easy to see that V contains a Virasoro element. Let $\{b^1, \ldots, b^d\}$ be a basis of A and $\{b_1, \ldots, b_d\}$ the corresponding dual basis. The element

$$\omega = \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} b^i(-\tfrac{3}{2}) b_i(-\tfrac{1}{2}) \tag{2.99}$$

is independent of the choice of basis of A. Writing

$$\omega = \tfrac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \Big(b^i(-\tfrac{3}{2}) b_i(-\tfrac{1}{2}) + b_i(-\tfrac{3}{2}) b^i(-\tfrac{1}{2}) \Big)$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y(\omega, z) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \Big(Y(b^i(-\tfrac{3}{2}) b_i(-\tfrac{1}{2}), z) + Y(b_i(-\tfrac{3}{2}) b^i(-\tfrac{1}{2}), z) \Big) \\
&= -\tfrac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \Big(\sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} (m + \tfrac{1}{2}) {}^{\circ}_{\circ} b^i(m) b_i(n) {}^{\circ}_{\circ}\, z^{-(m+n)-2} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} (n + \tfrac{1}{2}) {}^{\circ}_{\circ} b_i(n) b^i(m) {}^{\circ}_{\circ}\, z^{-(m+n)-2} \Big) \\
&= -\tfrac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} (m - n) {}^{\circ}_{\circ} b^i(m) b_i(n) {}^{\circ}_{\circ}\, z^{-(m+n)-2} \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} (n - \tfrac{k}{2}) {}^{\circ}_{\circ} b^i(k-n) b_i(n) {}^{\circ}_{\circ}\, z^{-k-2} \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} (n + \tfrac{k}{2}) {}^{\circ}_{\circ} b_i(-n) b^i(k+n) {}^{\circ}_{\circ}\, z^{-k-2} \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} L_k z^{-k-2}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$L_k = \omega_{k+1} = \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{d} (n + \tfrac{k}{2}) {}^{\circ}_{\circ} b_i(-n) b^i(k+n) {}^{\circ}_{\circ}\, . \tag{2.100}$$

From the operator identity

$$[a(m), L_n] = (m + \tfrac{n}{2})a(m+n) \tag{2.101}$$

follows that the L_n form a Virasoro algebra of central charge $c = \frac{d}{2}$ (cf. [KR] p. 29f). Let $v = a_1(-m_1)\ldots a_r(-m_r)$, $m_i > 0$, $a_i \in A$. Then (2.101) yields (cf. [FFR])

$$Y(L_{-1}v, z) = \frac{d}{dz}Y(v,z) \tag{2.102}$$

and

$$L_0 v = (m_1 + \ldots + m_r)v \tag{2.103}$$

so that

Proposition 2.18
ω is a Virasoro element in V.

Formula (2.103) has some important consequences. It shows that the V_n are finite-dimensional, $V_0 = \mathbb{R}1$ and $V_n = 0$ for $n < 0$. This implies that L_1 is locally nilpotent on V.

We will need the following formulas

$$\begin{aligned} a(-\tfrac{1}{2})_n &= a(n+\tfrac{1}{2}) && (2.104)\\ (a(-\tfrac{1}{2})b(-\tfrac{1}{2}))_n &= \sum_{2m\le n-1} a(m+\tfrac{1}{2})b(n-m-\tfrac{1}{2}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{2m>n-1} b(n-m-\tfrac{1}{2})a(m+\tfrac{1}{2})\,. && (2.105) \end{aligned}$$

The first one follows directly from the definition.

$$\begin{aligned} Y(a(-\tfrac{1}{2})b(-\tfrac{1}{2}), z) &= {}^\circ_\circ \textstyle\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} a(m+\tfrac{1}{2})z^{-m-1} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} b(k+\tfrac{1}{2})z^{-k-1} {}^\circ_\circ \\ &= \sum_{k,m\in\mathbb{Z}} {}^\circ_\circ a(m+\tfrac{1}{2})b(k+\tfrac{1}{2}) {}^\circ_\circ\, z^{-(k+m+1)-1} \\ &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} {}^\circ_\circ a(m+\tfrac{1}{2})b(n-m-\tfrac{1}{2}) {}^\circ_\circ\, z^{-n-1} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} &(a(-\tfrac{1}{2})b(-\tfrac{1}{2}))_n \\ &\quad = \sum_{2m\le n-1} a(m+\tfrac{1}{2})b(n-m-\tfrac{1}{2}) - \sum_{2m>n-1} b(n-m-\tfrac{1}{2})a(m+\tfrac{1}{2})\,. \end{aligned}$$

Chapter 3

The vertex superalgebra of the compactified Neveu-Schwarz superstring and Lie algebras

In this chapter we introduce the vertex superalgebra of the compactified Neveu-Schwarz superstring and show that it contains a Neveu-Schwarz element. Thus the quotient $G = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}/D\mathsf{P}_0$ of the physical states $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the null states $D\mathsf{P}_0$ is a Lie algebra. We study this Lie algebra in detail. It contains sometimes a Kac-Moody algebra generated by the tachyon and the first excited states. The states invariant under the GSO-projection still form a Lie algebra G^+. Then we construct an invariant form on G and G^+ and prove that the quotients of G and G^+ with the kernel of this form are generalized Kac-Moody algebras in the case of Lorentzian space-time. Furthermore we determine the roots and calculate their multiplicities for space-time dimensions smaller than or equal to 10.

For physical background consider [GSW].

3.1 Definition and some properties

Let L be an integral nondegenerate lattice of rank d and α^μ, $1 \leq \mu \leq d$ a basis of $h = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Define the matrix $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \langle \alpha^\mu, \alpha^\nu \rangle$ and the inverse $\eta_{\kappa\lambda}$, i.e. $\eta^{\mu\nu}\eta_{\nu\lambda} = \delta^{\mu}_{\lambda}$. Denote the bosonic vertex superalgebra corresponding to L by V_{bos}.

Let A be an isomorphic copy of h provided with the same nondegenerate bilinear form $\langle\, ,\, \rangle$ and with basis b^{μ}, $1 \leq \mu \leq d$. The fermionic vertex superalgebra constructed from A is called V_{ferm}.

The vertex superalgebra of the compactified Neveu-Schwarz superstring is defined to be

$$V = V_{ferm} \otimes V_{bos} . \tag{3.1}$$

V is simple since V_{ferm} and V_{bos} are. The even part of V is

$$V_{\overline{0}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{n\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ n\geq 0}} \wedge^{n}(\hat{A}^{-}) \otimes S(\hat{h}^{-}) \otimes \mathbb{R}[L_0] \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{n\in 2\mathbb{Z}+1 \\ n\geq 0}} \wedge^{n}(\hat{A}^{-}) \otimes S(\hat{h}^{-}) \otimes \mathbb{R}[L_1] \tag{3.2}$$

and the odd part

$$V_{\overline{1}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{n\in 2\mathbb{Z}+1 \\ n\geq 0}} \wedge^{n}(\hat{A}^{-}) \otimes S(\hat{h}^{-}) \otimes \mathbb{R}[L_0] \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{n\in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ n\geq 0}} \wedge^{n}(\hat{A}^{-}) \otimes S(\hat{h}^{-}) \otimes \mathbb{R}[L_1] . \tag{3.3}$$

$\omega = 1 \otimes \omega_{bos} + \omega_{ferm} \otimes 1$ is a Virasoro element in V. The corresponding Virasoro operators are given by

$$L_m = L_m^{bos} + L_m^{ferm} \tag{3.4}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} L_m^{bos} &= \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} :\alpha(-n)\cdot\alpha(m+n): & (3.5) \\ L_m^{ferm} &= \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} (r+\tfrac{1}{2}m) {}^{\circ}_{\circ} b(-r)\cdot b(m+r) {}^{\circ}_{\circ} & (3.6) \end{aligned}$$

where we have written $\alpha(-n)\cdot\alpha(m+n)$ for $\eta_{\mu\nu}\alpha^{\mu}(-n)\alpha^{\nu}(m+n)$ and similar for b.

Let $v = a_1(r_1)\ldots a_p(r_p) \otimes h_1(n_1)\ldots h_q(n_q) \otimes e^{\alpha}$ with $a_i \in A$, $h_j \in h$ and $\alpha \in L$ be in V. Then

$$L_0 v = (r_1 + \ldots + r_p + n_1 + \ldots + n_q + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha^2) v . \tag{3.7}$$

Furthermore

Proposition 3.1
$\tau = b(-\frac{1}{2}) \cdot \alpha(-1) \in V_{\overline{1}}$ is a Neveu-Schwarz element of central charge $\frac{3}{2}d$.

Proof: The vertex operator of τ is

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\tau, z) &= Y(b(-\tfrac{1}{2}), z) \cdot Y(\alpha(-1), z) \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} \Big(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} b^\mu(r) z^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} \Big) \Big(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha^\nu(n) z^{-n-1} \Big) \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha^\nu(n) b^\mu(r) z^{-(r+n)-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} G_r z^{-r-\frac{3}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$G_r = \tau_{r+\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(-n) \cdot b(r+n) \tag{3.8}$$

Note that $\tau = G_{-\frac{3}{2}} 1$.

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{2} \tau_0 \tau &= \tfrac{1}{2} G_{-\frac{1}{2}} \tau \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2} \Big(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(-n) \cdot b(n - \tfrac{1}{2}) \Big) \, b(-\tfrac{1}{2}) \cdot \alpha(-1) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2} 1 \otimes \alpha(-1) \cdot \alpha(-1) + \tfrac{1}{2} b(-\tfrac{3}{2}) \cdot b(-\tfrac{1}{2}) \otimes 1 \\ &= 1 \otimes \omega_{bos} + \omega_{ferm} \otimes 1 \, . \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that the operators L_n, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and G_r, $r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ give a representation of the Neveu-Schwarz algebra with central charge $\frac{3}{2}d$ (cf. [GSW] vol.1 p.204). □

We decompose V in $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$ where

$$V^+ = \bigoplus_{\substack{n \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1 \\ n \geq 0}} \wedge^n(\hat{A}^-) \otimes S(\hat{h}^-) \otimes \mathbb{R}[L_1] \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{n \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1 \\ n \geq 0}} \wedge^n(\hat{A}^-) \otimes S(\hat{h}^-) \otimes \mathbb{R}[L_0] \tag{3.9}$$

is the subspace of V with an odd number of fermionic oscillator excitations and

$$V^- = \bigoplus_{\substack{n \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ n \geq 0}} \wedge^n(\hat{A}^-) \otimes S(\hat{h}^-) \otimes \mathbb{R}[L_0] \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{n \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ n \geq 0}} \wedge^n(\hat{A}^-) \otimes S(\hat{h}^-) \otimes \mathbb{R}[L_1] \tag{3.10}$$

the subspace with an even number of fermionic oscillator excitations. Define the GSO-projection G on V by putting $G = +1$ on V^+ and $G = -1$ on V^-. It is easy to see that G commutes with the operators $k(n)$, $k \in h$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and anticommutes with the operators $a(r)$, $a \in A$, $r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$, so that

$$[G, L_n] = [G, G_r]_+ = 0 \tag{3.11}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. This implies

$$L_n V^\pm \subset V^\pm \quad \text{and} \quad G_r V^\pm \subset V^\mp \,. \tag{3.12}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} (V^+)_n(V^+) &\subset V^- && (3.13)\\ (V^+)_n(V^-) &\subset V^+ && (3.14)\\ (V^-)_n(V^-) &\subset V^- && (3.15)\\ (V^-)_n(V^+) &\subset V^+ \,. && (3.16) \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Physical states and Lie algebras

$\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \{v \in V | L_0 v = \frac{1}{2}v,\ L_m v = G_r v = 0 \text{ for all } m, r > 0\}$ is called the space of physical states of the compactified Neveu-Schwarz superstring. We will give some examples for physical states and calculate their vertex operators. The state $|k\rangle = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes e^k$ with $k^2 = 1$ is a ground-state tachyon. The first excited state is the massless vector $\zeta(-\frac{1}{2})|k\rangle = \zeta(-\frac{1}{2}) \otimes 1 \otimes e^k$ of polarisation $\zeta \in A$ and momentum $k^2 = 0$. The condition $G_{\frac{1}{2}}\,\zeta(-\frac{1}{2})|k\rangle = 0$ implies that $\langle \zeta, k\rangle = 0$ for this state to be physical. We have already seen that the physical states form the Lie algebra $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}/D\mathsf{P}_0$. The vertex operator of $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}|k\rangle = k(-\frac{1}{2})|k\rangle$ is given by

$$Y(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}|k\rangle, z) = \Big(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} k(r) z^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} \Big) : e^{ikX(z)} : \varepsilon_k \, (-1)^{|\cdot|} \tag{3.17}$$

which is in agreement with the expression in [GSW]. Note the sign operator acting on the fermionic part. For the first excited state we obtain $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\zeta(-\frac{1}{2})|k\rangle = \big(\zeta(-1) - \zeta(-\frac{1}{2})k(-\frac{1}{2})\big)\,|k\rangle$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & Y(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\zeta(-\tfrac{1}{2})|k\rangle, z) \\ = \; & :\Big(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\zeta(m)z^{-m-1}\Big)e^{ikX(z)}:\varepsilon_k \\ & - {}^{\circ}_{\circ}\Big(\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}}\zeta(r)z^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}\Big)\Big(\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}}k(s)z^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}\Big){}^{\circ}_{\circ} :e^{ikX(z)}:\varepsilon_k \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Again this coincides with the result in [GSW].

We now can calculate some products in the Lie algebra $G = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}/D\mathsf{P}_0$. Let $|k\rangle$ be a ground-state tachyon. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}|k\rangle)_0(|-k\rangle) &= (k(-\tfrac{1}{2})\otimes(1\otimes e^k))_0(1\otimes(1\otimes e^{-k})) \\ &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}k(-\tfrac{1}{2})_n 1\otimes(1\otimes e^k)_{-n-1}(1\otimes e^{-k}) \\ &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}k(n+\tfrac{1}{2})\,1\otimes\varepsilon(k,-k)S_{n+1}(k)e^0 \\ &= \varepsilon(k,-k)k(-\tfrac{1}{2})|0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[G_{-\frac{1}{2}}|k\rangle, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}|-k\rangle] = -G_{-\frac{1}{2}}k(-\tfrac{1}{2})|0\rangle\,. \tag{3.19}$$

Let $\zeta(-\frac{1}{2})|0\rangle$ be an excited state of zero momentum. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\zeta(-\tfrac{1}{2})|0\rangle)_0(|k\rangle) &= (1\otimes(\zeta(-1)\otimes 1))_0(1\otimes(1\otimes e^k)) \\ &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}1_n 1\otimes(\zeta(-1)\otimes 1)_{-n-1}(1\otimes e^k) \\ &= 1\otimes(\zeta(-1)\otimes 1)_0(1\otimes e^k) \\ &= 1\otimes\zeta(0)(1\otimes e^k) \\ &= \langle\zeta,k\rangle|k\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\zeta(-\tfrac{1}{2})|0\rangle, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}|k\rangle] = \langle\zeta,k\rangle G_{-\frac{1}{2}}|k\rangle\,. \tag{3.20}$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\zeta(-\tfrac{1}{2})|0\rangle)_0(\xi(-\tfrac{1}{2})|0\rangle) &= (1\otimes(\zeta(-1)\otimes 1))_0(\xi(-\tfrac{1}{2})\otimes(1\otimes e^0)) \\ &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}1_n\xi(-\tfrac{1}{2})\otimes(\zeta(-1)\otimes 1)_{-n-1}1 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$[G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\zeta(-\tfrac{1}{2})|0\rangle, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi(-\tfrac{1}{2})|0\rangle] = 0\,. \tag{3.21}$$

V is L-graded by construction

$$V = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in L} V^{\alpha} \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$V^{\alpha} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \wedge^{n}(\hat{A}^{-}) \otimes S(\hat{h}^{-}) \otimes e^{\alpha}\,. \tag{3.23}$$

Using the fact that the Neveu-Schwarz operators leave the spaces V^{α} invariant it is easy to see that the subspaces V_n and P_n are also L-graded, i.e. $V_n = \oplus_{\alpha\in L} V_n^{\alpha}$ where $V_n^{\alpha} = V_n \cap V^{\alpha}$ and analogous for P_n. If T is a Neveu-Schwarz operator then the spaces TV_n are L-graded and $TV_n \cap V^{\alpha} = (TV_n)^{\alpha} = TV_n^{\alpha} = T(V_n \cap V^{\alpha})$. The same holds for the P_n.

It follows

$$G = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in L} G^{\alpha} \tag{3.24}$$

with

$$G^{\alpha} = (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}})^{\alpha}/(D\mathsf{P}_0)^{\alpha} = G \cap V^{\alpha}\,. \tag{3.25}$$

From the definition of the vertex operators we see

$$(V^{\alpha})_n(V^{\beta}) \subset V^{\alpha+\beta} \tag{3.26}$$

so that

$$[G^{\alpha}, G^{\beta}] \subset G^{\alpha+\beta}\,. \tag{3.27}$$

We now can embed a Kac-Moody algebra into G. Let $\Pi = \{k_i \,|\, i \in I\}$ be a subset of L satisfying

1. $\langle k_i, k_i\rangle = 1$ for all $i \in I$
2. $\langle k_i, k_j\rangle \leq 0$ for $i \neq j$
3. Π is linearly independent in $L_{\mathbb{R}} = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$

Note that Π is necessarily finite. We can choose nontrivial Π for example if L is the odd Lorentzian lattice $I_{n,1}$. For $i, j \in I$ define

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= 2\langle k_i, k_j\rangle && (3.28)\\ h_i &= 2G_{-\frac{1}{2}} k_i(-\tfrac{1}{2})|0\rangle && (3.29)\\ e_i &= \sqrt{2}G_{-\frac{1}{2}}|k_i\rangle && (3.30)\\ f_i &= -\sqrt{2}G_{-\frac{1}{2}}|-k_i\rangle\,. && (3.31) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.2
The elements e_i, f_i and h_i generate the Kac-Moody algebra $g(A)$ corresponding to the matrix $A = (a_{ij})$.

Proof: We have already established the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [h_i, h_j] &= 0\\ [h_i, e_j] &= a_{ij}e_j\\ [h_i, f_j] &= -a_{ij}f_j\\ [e_i, f_i] &= h_i\,. \end{aligned}$$

Let $i \neq j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [e_i, f_j] &= -2[G_{-\frac{1}{2}}|k_i\rangle, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}|-k_j\rangle]\\ &= 2(k_i(-\tfrac{1}{2})\otimes(1\otimes e^{k_i}))_0(k_j(-\tfrac{1}{2})\otimes(1\otimes e^{-k_j}))\\ &= -2\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} k_i(-\tfrac{1}{2})_n k_j(-\tfrac{1}{2})\otimes(1\otimes e^{k_i})_{-n-1}(1\otimes e^{-k_j})\\ &= -2\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} k_i(n+\tfrac{1}{2})k_j(-\tfrac{1}{2})\otimes\varepsilon(k_i,-k_j)S_{n+\langle k_i,k_j\rangle}(k_i)e^{k_i-k_j}\\ &= -2\varepsilon(k_i,-k_j)\langle k_i,k_j\rangle S_{\langle k_i,k_j\rangle}(k_i)e^{k_i-k_j}\\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $\langle k_i, k_j\rangle \leq 0$. Finally we must prove the Serre relations

$$(ad\, e_i)^{1-a_{ij}}e_j = (ad\, f_i)^{1-a_{ij}}f_j = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

$(ad\, e_i)^n e_j$ can be written as $(ad\, e_i)^n e_j = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}x$ modulo $D\mathsf{P}_0$ where x is some element in $\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ which is of degree $nk_i + k_j$ with respect to the L-grading. Thus the L_0-eigenvalue of x is greater or equal to $\frac{1}{2}(nk_i + k_j)^2 =$

$\frac{1}{2}(n^2 + 2n\langle k_i, k_j\rangle + 1)$. If $\frac{1}{2}(n^2 + na_{ij} + 1) > \frac{1}{2}$ then x must be zero. We conclude that $(ad\, e_i)^n e_j = 0$ for $n > -a_{ij}$ resp. $n \geq 1 - a_{ij}$. The proof of the other Serre relation is analogous. $\square$

Since $g(A)$ is a subalgebra of G it is also L-graded, i.e.

$$g(A) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in L} g(A)^\alpha\,. \tag{3.32}$$

An element $\alpha \in L\backslash\{0\}$ with $g(A)^\alpha \neq 0$ is called a root of $g(A)$. The inclusion $g(A)^\alpha \subset G^\alpha$ implies that the dimension of a root space $g(A)^\alpha$ is bounded by the dimension of G^α which can be calculated. Define numbers $c_d(n)$ by

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty c_d(n) q^n = \prod_{m=1}^\infty \left(\frac{1 + q^{m-\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - q^m} \right)^d\,. \tag{3.33}$$

The first nonzero coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} c_d(0) &= 1 \\ c_d(\tfrac{1}{2}) &= d \\ c_d(1) &= d + \tbinom{d}{2} \\ c_d(\tfrac{3}{2}) &= d + d^2 + \tbinom{d}{3} \\ c_d(2) &= 2d + d^2 + d\tbinom{d}{2} + \tbinom{d}{2} + \tbinom{d}{4}\,. \end{aligned}$$

We have seen above that $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is injective on $\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and that D is injective on P_0^α. Hence dim $G^\alpha =$ dim $\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}^\alpha -$ dim P_0^α. We prove in the appendix

$$\dim \mathsf{P}_n^\alpha = c_{d-1}(n - \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha^2)\,, \tag{3.34}$$

where d denotes the rank of the lattice, so that we get the following upper bound for the multiplicities

$$\dim\, g(A)^\alpha \leq c_{d-1}(\tfrac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha^2)) - c_{d-1}(-\tfrac{1}{2}\alpha^2)\,. \tag{3.35}$$

With the help of the GSO-projection we construct another subalgebra of G. Put

$$\mathsf{P}_n^\pm = \mathsf{P}_n \cap V^\pm \quad \text{for } n \in \tfrac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\,. \tag{3.36}$$

Let $x = x^+ + x^-\,, x^\pm \in V^\pm$ be in P_n. Then $L_0 x^+ + L_0 x^- = nx^+ + nx^-$ and by applying G on this equation $L_0 x^+ - L_0 x^- = nx^+ - nx^-$ so that

$L_0x^{\pm} = nx^{\pm}$. For $r > 0$ we have $G_rx^+ + G_rx^- = 0$ and $-G_rx^+ + G_rx^- = 0$ by applying G. Thus $G_rx^{\pm} = 0$. This shows $x^{\pm} \in \mathsf{P}_n^{\pm}$ and

$$\mathsf{P}_n = \mathsf{P}_n^+ \oplus \mathsf{P}_n^- \,. \qquad (3.37)$$

Proposition 3.3
$G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}}/D\mathsf{P}_0^-$ *is a subalgebra of* $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}/D\mathsf{P}_0$.

Proof: Let $u, v \in \mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}u)_0v$ is in $\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}} \cap V^+ = \mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}u)_0(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}v) = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}((G_{-\frac{1}{2}}u)_0v)$ implies that $(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}})_0(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}}) \subset (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}})$. It remains to show that $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}} \cap D\mathsf{P}_0 = D\mathsf{P}_0^-$. $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_0^-$ is a subspace of $\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ since $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_0$ is. But $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_0^-$ is also in V^+. Thus $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_0^- \subset \mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_0^- \subset (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_0 \cap \mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}})$. It follows $D\mathsf{P}_0^- = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_0^- \subset G_{-\frac{1}{2}}(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_0 \cap \mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}}) \subset (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_0 \cap G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}}) = D\mathsf{P}_0 \cap G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}}$. On the other hand $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}} \cap D\mathsf{P}_0 = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}} \cap (D\mathsf{P}_0^+ \oplus D\mathsf{P}_0^-) = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}} \cap D\mathsf{P}_0^- \subset D\mathsf{P}_0^-$ since $D\mathsf{P}_0^{\pm} \subset V^{\pm}$ and $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset V^-$. □

$G^+ = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}^+_{\frac{1}{2}}/D\mathsf{P}_0^-$ is the Lie algebra of states invariant under the GSO-projection.

We will need two further propositions. The first one is the following

Proposition 3.4
There is a symmetric invariant bilinear form $(\ ,\ )$ *on* G *satisfying*

1. *The adjoint of* $k(n)$, $k \in h$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *is given by* $-k(-n)$.
2. *The adjoint of* $\zeta(r)$, $\zeta \in A$, $r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ *is* $-\zeta(-r)$.
3. $(e^k, e^l) = -\delta_{k+l,0}$ *for* $k, l \in L$.

Proof: We will construct an invariant form on V that projects down to an invariant form on G with the above properties.
Since L_1 is locally nilpotent on V_{ferm} and on V_{bos} it is locally nilpotent on $V = V_{ferm} \otimes V_{bos}$ and we can apply the results of section (2.4). V_1^0 is generated by elements of the form $k(-1) + \zeta(-\frac{1}{2})\xi(-\frac{1}{2})$, $k \in h$, $\zeta, \xi \in A$, so that $(L_1V_1)^0 = L_1V_1^0 = 0$ and $\mathbb{R}1 \cap L_1V_1 = \mathbb{R}1 \cap (L_1V_1)^0 = 0$. Hence the dual

of V_0/L_1V_1 is nontrivial. Define a linear functional on V_0/L_1V_1 by putting $f(1+L_1V_1) = -1$ and zero on some complement of L_1V_1. We have seen that f induces an invariant form $(\, , \,)$ on V depending on a constant λ. We will choose $\lambda = i$ and perform the following calculations in the complexification $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$. Note that this bilinear form is real valued on $V_{\overline{0}}$. Recall the definition of the opposite vertex operator

$$v_n^* = i^{-2p} \sum_{m \geq 0} \left(\frac{L_1^m}{m!} v \right)_{2p-n-m-2}$$

for $v \in V_p$. For a quasiprimary state $v \in V_p$ this reduces to

$$v_n^* = i^{-2p} v_{2p-n-2} \, .$$

For example

$$L_n^* = \omega_{n+1}^* = \omega_{-n+1} = L_{-n} \, .$$

Let $k \in h$. Then $k(-1)$ is quasiprimary and $k(n)^* = k(-1)_n^* = -k(-1)_{2-n-2} = -k(-n)$ since $k(n) = k(-1)_n$. Analogous we find $\zeta(r)^* = -i\zeta(-r)$ and $(e^k)_{-1}^* = i^{-\langle k,k \rangle} (e^k)_{\langle k,k \rangle -1}$ for $\zeta \in A$, $r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ and $k \in L$. Next we show that $(e^k, e^l) = 0$ if $k + l \neq 0$. First let $\langle k, k+l \rangle = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(e^k, e^l) &= ((e^k)_{-1}1, e^l) \\
&= (1, (e^k)_{-1}^* e^l) \\
&= i^{-\langle k,k \rangle} (1, (e^k)_{\langle k,k \rangle -1} e^l) \\
&= \varepsilon(k,l) i^{-\langle k,k \rangle} (1, S_{-\langle k,k+l \rangle}(k) e^{k+l}) \\
&= \varepsilon(k,l) i^{-\langle k,k \rangle} (1, e^{k+l}) \\
&= \varepsilon(k,l) i^{-\langle k,k \rangle} f(\pi(e^{k+l})) \\
&= 0 \, .
\end{aligned}$$

If $\langle k, k+l \rangle \neq 0$ then $\langle k,k \rangle (e^k, e^l) = (k(0)e^k, e^l) = -(e^k, k(0)e^l) = -\langle k,l \rangle (e^k, e^l)$ so that $\langle k, k+l \rangle (e^k, e^l) = 0$ and $(e^k, e^l) = 0$. Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
(e^k, e^{-k}) &= \varepsilon(k,-k) i^{-\langle k,k \rangle} (1, S_0(k) e^0) \\
&= \varepsilon(k,-k) i^{-\langle k,k \rangle} (1, 1) \\
&= -\varepsilon(k,-k) i^{-\langle k,k \rangle}
\end{aligned}$$

since $(1,1) = f(\pi(1_{-1}^* 1)) = f(\pi(1)) = f(1 + L_1V_1) = -1$.
If we compensate the sign convention in the definition 2.33 of an invariant form in the definition of the adjoint operator then it is easy to check that

the restriction of $(\,,\,)$ to $V_{\bar{0}}$ has the properties stated in the proposition. We are done when we have shown that $(\,,\,)$ gives a well-defined form on G. Let $u \in P_1$ and $v \in P_0$. Then $(u, Dv) = (L_1 u, v) = 0$ as desired. □

The proposition implies that

$$(G^{\alpha}, G^{\beta}) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha + \beta \neq 0\,. \tag{3.38}$$

Define an involution θ of $V_{\bar{0}}$ by $\theta(e^r) = e^{-r}$, $\theta(k(-n)) = -k(-n)$ and $\theta(\zeta(-r)) = -\zeta(-r)$. Since $(\,,\,)$ is θ-invariant the bilinear form $(\,,\,)_0$ defined by $(x,y)_0 = -(x, \theta(y))$ for $x, y \in V_{\bar{0}}$ is a symmetric bilinear form on $V_{\bar{0}}$. It satisfies $(e^k, e^l)_0 = \delta_{k,l}$ for $k, l \in L$. The adjoint of $k(n)$, $k \in h$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with respect to this form is given by $k(-n)$ and the adjoint of $\zeta(r)$, $\zeta \in A$, $r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ is $\zeta(-r)$. Note that $(\,,\,)$ and $(\,,\,)_0$ have the same kernel so that $(\,,\,)_0$ gives us another symmetric bilinear form on G. It is the bilinear form we know from string theory. If $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}x$ and $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}y$ with $x, y \in \mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ are the representatives of two elements in G then $(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}x, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}y)_0 = (x, G_{\frac{1}{2}}G_{-\frac{1}{2}}y)_0 = (x, [G_{\frac{1}{2}}G_{-\frac{1}{2}}]_+ y)_0 = 2(x, L_0 y)_0 = (x,y)_0$.

The vector space $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}A(-\frac{1}{2}) = \{\zeta(-1) | \zeta \in A\}$ is isomorphic to $L_{\mathbb{R}} = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Let $H = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}A(-\frac{1}{2}) \Big/ \Big(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}A(-\frac{1}{2}) \cap D\mathsf{P}_0\Big)$ be the image of $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}A(-\frac{1}{2})$ in G. Since $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}A(-\frac{1}{2}) \subset V^0$ and $(D\mathsf{P}_0)^0 = D\mathsf{P}_0^0 = D\{\mathbb{R}1 \otimes e^0\} = 0$ we have $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}A(-\frac{1}{2}) \cap D\mathsf{P}_0 = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}A(-\frac{1}{2}) \cap D\mathsf{P}_0^0 = 0$ so that H is still isomorphic to $L_{\mathbb{R}}$. We have already seen that H is an abelian subalgebra of G. The restriction of $(\,,\,)$ to H is equal to $\langle\,,\,\rangle$. From $\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}^0 = A(-\frac{1}{2})$ and $D\mathsf{P}_0^0 = 0$ we get $G^0 = (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}})^0 / (D\mathsf{P}_0)^0 = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}^0 / D\mathsf{P}_0^0 = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}A(-\frac{1}{2}) = H$. We will denote an element in H by the corresponding element in $L_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proposition 3.5
Let $v \in G^{\alpha}$ be an element of degree $\alpha \in L$. Then

$$[h, v] = (h, \alpha)v \quad \textit{for all } h \in H\,. \tag{3.39}$$

Proof: $v \in G^{\alpha}$ can be represented by $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}(a \otimes (b \otimes e^{\alpha}))$ where $a \in V_{ferm}$ and $b \otimes e^{\alpha} \in V_{bos}$. First suppose that a is homogeneous. Then

$$(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}h(-\tfrac{1}{2}))_0 (a \otimes (b \otimes e^{\alpha})) \;=\; (1 \otimes (h(-1) \otimes 1))_0 (a \otimes (b \otimes e^{\alpha}))$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} 1_n a \otimes (h(-1)\otimes e^0)_{-n-1}(b\otimes e^\alpha) \\
&= a \otimes (h(-1)\otimes e^0)_0 (b\otimes e^\alpha) \\
&= a \otimes \langle h,\alpha\rangle (b\otimes e^\alpha)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

By linearity this holds for arbitrary a. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
[h,v] &= (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}h(-\tfrac{1}{2}))_0(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}(a\otimes(b\otimes e^\alpha))) \\
&= G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big((G_{-\frac{1}{2}}h(-\tfrac{1}{2}))_0(a\otimes(b\otimes e^\alpha))\Big) \\
&= (h,\alpha)v\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Note that the last two propositions also hold for the subalgebra G^+ of G.

3.3 The Lorentzian case

In this section we will consider the special case that L is a Lorentzian lattice. It is of special interest since then the quotient of G resp. G^+ by the kernel of the invariant form constructed above is a generalized Kac-Moody algebra which is not true for arbitrary lattices.

Let us assume from now on that L is a Lorentzian lattice.

We will use the following characterization of generalized Kac-Moody algebras due to R. E. Borcherds (cf. [Bo5]).

Theorem 3.6
Any Lie algebra G satisfying the following five conditions is a generalized Kac-Moody algebra.

1. *G has a nonsingular invariant symmetric bilinear form.*
2. *G has a selfcentralizing subalgebra H such that G is the sum of the eigenspaces of H and all the eigenspaces are finite-dimensional.*
3. *The bilinear form restricted to H is Lorentzian.*
4. *The norms of the roots of G are bounded above.*

5. *If two roots are positive multiples of the same norm 0 vector then their root spaces commute.*

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the kernel of the bilinear form $(\, , \,)$ constructed above. Since $(\, , \,)$ is invariant $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal in G. We will show that the quotient

$$\overline{G} = G/\mathcal{I} \tag{3.40}$$

satisfies the five conditions of the theorem. Note that $\overline{G}$ is isomorphic to $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}/\mathcal{I}$ if we denote the kernel of $(\, , \,)$ on $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ also by $\mathcal{I}$. $\mathcal{I}$ is L-graded, i.e.

$$\mathcal{I} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in L} \mathcal{I}^{\alpha} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{I}^{\alpha} = \mathcal{I} \cap G^{\alpha} \, . \tag{3.41}$$

In order to prove this let $x = \sum_{i \in I} x^{\alpha_i}$ with $x^{\alpha_i} \in G^{\alpha_i}$ be in $\mathcal{I}$. We have to show that x^{α_j}, $j \in I$, is already in $\mathcal{I}$. Since $(G^{\alpha}, x^{\alpha_j}) = 0$ for all $\alpha \neq -\alpha_j$ it is enough to show that $(G^{-\alpha_j}, x^{\alpha_j}) = 0$. But this follows from $0 = (G^{-\alpha_j}, x) = \sum_{i \in I} (G^{-\alpha_j}, x^{\alpha_i}) = (G^{-\alpha_j}, x^{\alpha_j})$.

Thus $\overline{G}$ is also naturally L-graded

$$\overline{G} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in L} \overline{G}^{\alpha} \quad \text{with} \quad \overline{G}^{\alpha} = G^{\alpha}/\mathcal{I}^{\alpha} \tag{3.42}$$

and

$$\left[\overline{G}^{\alpha}, \overline{G}^{\beta} \right] \subset \overline{G}^{\alpha+\beta} \, . \tag{3.43}$$

The vector spaces $\overline{G}^{\alpha}$ are finite-dimensional by construction. $\overline{G}^{\alpha}$ and $\overline{G}^{-\alpha}$ are isomorphic.

An element $\alpha \in L \backslash \{0\}$ for which $\overline{G}^{\alpha}$ is nontrivial is called root of $\overline{G}$ and the corresponding $\overline{G}^{\alpha}$ is called root space. The norm of α is given by $(\alpha, \alpha) = \alpha^2$.

$(\, , \,)$ pairs nondegenerately $\overline{G}^{\alpha}$ and $\overline{G}^{-\alpha}$. Since $\overline{G}^{\alpha}$ and $\overline{G}^{-\alpha}$ are of the same finite dimension this pairing is even nonsingular. Thus $\overline{G}$ satisfies the first condition of Theorem (3.6).

The image $\overline{H}$ of H in $\overline{G}$ is isomorphic to H since $(\, , \,)$ is nondegenerate on H. Furthermore $\overline{H} = \overline{G}^{0}$ and

$$\overline{G} = \overline{H} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \neq 0} \overline{G}^{\alpha} . \tag{3.44}$$

The spaces $\overline{G}^{\alpha}$ are finite-dimensional eigenspaces of $\overline{H}$ by Proposition (3.5). We now show that $\overline{H}$ is selfcentralizing. Let $x = h + \sum_{i \in I} x^{\alpha_i}$ with $x^{\alpha_i} \in$

$\overline{G}^{\alpha_i}$, $\alpha_i \neq 0$ and $h \in \overline{H}$ be in the centralizer of $\overline{H}$. Then $0 = [h', x] = \sum_{i\in I}[h', x^{\alpha_i}] = \sum_{i\in I}(h', \alpha_i)x^{\alpha_i}$ for all $h' \in \overline{H}$. If some x^{α_j} are nonzero they are linearly independent and $(h', \alpha_j) = 0$ for all $h' \in \overline{H}$. But this is impossible by the nondegeneracy of $(\, , \,)$. Thus all x^{α_i} are zero and x is in $\overline{H}$.

Clearly the restriction of $(\, , \,)$ to $\overline{H}$ is Lorentzian since $\langle \, , \, \rangle$ is.

The norms of the roots are integer and bounded above by 1.

Finally we have

Lemma 3.7
Let two roots be positive multiples of the same norm 0 vector then their root spaces commute.

Proof: Let $r \in L$ be of norm zero, i.e. $(r, r) = 0$, and let $x \in \overline{G}^{mr}$ and $y \in \overline{G}^{nr}$ with $m, n > 0$ be nonzero. Then $x = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}a(-\frac{1}{2})e^{mr}$ and $y = G_{-\frac{1}{2}}b(-\frac{1}{2})e^{nr}$ modulo $\mathcal{I}$. The physical state condition implies $(a, r) = (b, r) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}a(-\tfrac{1}{2})e^{mr})_0(b(-\tfrac{1}{2})e^{nr})\\
&= ((a(-1) - ma(-\tfrac{1}{2})r(-\tfrac{1}{2}))e^{mr})_0(b(-\tfrac{1}{2})e^{nr})\\
&= (a(-1)e^{mr})_0(b(-\tfrac{1}{2})e^{nr}) - m(a(-\tfrac{1}{2})r(-\tfrac{1}{2})e^{mr})_0(b(-\tfrac{1}{2})e^{nr})\\
&= \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} 1_i b(-\tfrac{1}{2}) \otimes (a(-1)e^{mr})_{-i-1}(e^{nr})\\
&\quad -m\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} a(-\tfrac{1}{2})r(-\tfrac{1}{2})_i b(-\tfrac{1}{2}) \otimes (e^{mr})_{-i-1}(e^{nr})\\
&= b(-\tfrac{1}{2}) \otimes (a(-1)e^{mr})_0(e^{nr})\\
&\quad -m\sum_{i\geq 0} a(-\tfrac{1}{2})r(-\tfrac{1}{2})_i b(-\tfrac{1}{2}) \otimes \varepsilon(mr, nr)S_i(mr)e^{(m+n)r}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.74) we find

$$(a(-1)e^{mr})_0(e^{nr}) = 0\,.$$

The products $a(-\frac{1}{2})r(-\frac{1}{2})_i b(-\frac{1}{2})$ can be calculated by (2.105). Terms with $i \geq 1$ vanish and

$$a(-\tfrac{1}{2})r(-\tfrac{1}{2})_0 b(-\tfrac{1}{2}) = -(a, b)r(-\tfrac{1}{2}).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}a(-\tfrac{1}{2})e^{mr})_0(b(-\tfrac{1}{2})e^{nr}) &= m\varepsilon(mr,nr)(a,b)r(-\tfrac{1}{2})e^{(m+n)r} \\ &= \tfrac{m}{m+n}\varepsilon(mr,nr)(a,b)G_{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{(m+n)r}.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that

$$L_0e^{(m+n)r} = G_{\frac{1}{2}}e^{(m+n)r} = G_{\frac{3}{2}}e^{(m+n)r} = 0$$

so that $e^{(m+n)r} \in \mathsf{P}_0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}[x,y] &= (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}a(-\tfrac{1}{2})e^{mr})_0(G_{-\frac{1}{2}}b(-\tfrac{1}{2})e^{nr}) \\ &= G_{-\frac{1}{2}}((G_{-\frac{1}{2}}a(-\tfrac{1}{2})e^{mr})_0(b(-\tfrac{1}{2})e^{nr})) \\ &= \tfrac{m}{m+n}\varepsilon(mr,nr)(a,b)De^{(m+n)r} \\ &= 0 \pmod{D\mathsf{P}_0}\end{aligned}$$

□

We have proven the following

Theorem 3.8
$\overline{G}$ is a generalized Kac-Moody algebra. The Cartan subalgebra $\overline{H}$ is isomorphic to $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. The roots have integer norms bounded above by 1.

The same arguments hold for $\overline{G}^+ = G^+/\mathcal{I}$. We can be more precise on the norms of the roots. The roots of $\overline{G}^+$ have even norms bounded above by 0. Thus

Theorem 3.9
$\overline{G}^+$ is a generalized Kac-Moody algebra with Cartan subalgebra $\overline{H}$ isomorphic to $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. $\overline{G}^+$ has only imaginary roots of even norm.

In the case that L is a Lorentzian lattice with rank less or equal to 10 we can even calculate the multiplicities of the roots.

First let the rank d of L be smaller than or equal to 9. Then by the "no-ghost-theorem" $(\,,\,)_0$ is positive definite on G and the kernel of $(\,,\,)$ in G is trivial. For a root α we have $\dim \overline{G}^{\alpha} = \dim G^{\alpha} = \dim \mathsf{P}^{\alpha}_{\frac{1}{2}} - \dim \mathsf{P}^{\alpha}_0$ since $G_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is injective on $\mathsf{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and D is injective on P^{α}_0. Thus

$$\dim \overline{G}^{\alpha} = c_{d-1}(\tfrac{1}{2}(1-\alpha^2)) - c_{d-1}(-\tfrac{1}{2}\alpha^2) \tag{3.45}$$

by formula (3.34). The same result holds for the roots of $\overline{G}^+$. We summarize this in

Theorem 3.10
Let L be a Lorentzian lattice of rank $2 \leq d \leq 9$. Then $\overline{G}$ and $\overline{G}^+$ are generalized Kac-Moody algebras. The roots of $\overline{G}$ resp. $\overline{G}^+$ are the $\alpha \in L\backslash\{0\}$ with $\alpha^2 \leq 1$ resp. $\alpha^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha^2 \leq 0$. In both cases the multiplicity of a root α is given by $c_{d-1}(\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha^2)) - c_{d-1}(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2)$.

If L is a 10-dimensional Lorentzian lattice then the kernel of $(\ ,\ )$ is nontrivial. Denote the kernel of $(\ ,\ )_0$ by $\mathcal{I}_0$. Then $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_0$ and dim $\overline{G}^\alpha =$ dim $\mathsf{P}^\alpha_{\frac{1}{2}}/\mathcal{I}^\alpha_0$.

Theorem 3.11
Let L be a 10-dimensional Lorentzian lattice. Then $\overline{G}$ and $\overline{G}^+$ are generalized Kac-Moody algebras. The roots of $\overline{G}$ resp. $\overline{G}^+$ are the $\alpha \in L\backslash\{0\}$ with $\alpha^2 \leq 1$ resp. $\alpha^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha^2 \leq 0$. In both cases the multiplicity of α is given by $c_8(\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha^2))$.

The following table shows that the multiplicities are indeed equal to the number of states of the corresponding mass level.

α^2	$c_8(\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha^2))$
1	1
0	8
-1	36
-2	128
-3	402

The asymptotic behaviour of $c_8(n)$ can by calculated by the methods described in [Bo6] or [GSW] vol.1 p.118. We find

$$c_8(n) \sim \tfrac{1}{2} n^{-\frac{11}{4}} e^{2\pi\sqrt{n}}\,. \tag{3.46}$$

Note that if α^2 is even then $c_8(\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha^2))$ is equal to the coefficient of $q^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$ in

$$8 \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+q^m}{1-q^m} \right)^8$$

by Jacobi's "rather obscure formula".

Chapter 4

Outlook

We have seen above that the Fock space of the compactified Neveu-Schwarz superstring carries the structure of a vertex superalgebra and that the physical states form a Lie algebra. We will denote them in this chapter by $V_{\overline{0}}$ resp. $G_{\overline{0}}$.

From the physical point of view one should expect that $G_{\overline{0}}$ is the even part of a superalgebra representing the full superstring moving on a torus.

Although this idea seems quite simple its mathematical realization is rather difficult.

We make the following conjectures:
1) The Ramond sector $V_{\overline{1}}$ is a twisted module for the vertex superalgebra $V_{\overline{0}}$ of the compactified Neveu-Schwarz superstring. This structure induces a $G_{\overline{0}}$-module structure of some quotient $G_{\overline{1}}$ in the Ramond sector.
2) There is an intertwiner mapping two states from the Ramond sector into the Neveu-Schwarz sector. In physics this map is called fermion-emission vertex. The problem is to find a mathematically correct description of this operator in the formalism of vertex superalgebras. The intertwiner induces then a map $G_{\overline{1}} \times G_{\overline{1}} \to G_{\overline{0}}$.
The conjectures imply that $G = G_{\overline{0}} \oplus G_{\overline{1}}$ is a Lie superalgebra. It is the Lie superalgebra of a superstring moving on a torus.

Once that G is constructed one can make similar considerations as for the Neveu-Schwarz superstring. They lead to the first realization of generalized Kac-Moody superalgebras! One can determine the roots and their multiplicities by modifying the arguments given in this work.

Furthermore there is some evidence from the theory of modular forms that it is even possible to compute the simple roots of the Lie superalgebra of physical states if the superstring moves on the torus $\mathbb{R}^{9,1}/II_{9,1}$. This would give a fake monster Lie superalgebra. Unfortunately, similar arguments do not seem to apply to the case of the Neveu-Schwarz superstring.

Appendix A

The dimensions of the physical subspaces

In this chapter we calculate the dimensions of the spaces P_n^α resp. P_n^α. Some arguments are similar to those of Goddard and Thorne in their proof of the "no-ghost-theorem" (cf. [GT]).

A.1 The bosonic case

Let V be the vertex algebra of an integral nondegenerate lattice L as defined in section 2.5. V is the Fock space of a compactified bosonic string. For $\alpha \in L$ let $V^\alpha = S(\hat{h}^-) \otimes e^\alpha$ be the α-subspace of V. It is a module for the Virasoro algebra. We define a bilinear form $\langle\, ,\, \rangle$ on V^α by putting $h(-m)^\dagger = h(m)$ and $\langle e^\alpha, e^\alpha \rangle = 1$. This form is nondegenerate since the bilinear form on L is nondegenerate. The adjoint of the Virasoro operator L_m with respect to $\langle\, ,\, \rangle$ is given by $L_m^\dagger = L_{-m}$. The spaces $V_n^\alpha = \{v \in V^\alpha \,|\, L_0 v = nv\}$ are orthogonal for different n and $V^\alpha = \oplus_{n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} V_n^\alpha$. It follows that the spaces V_n^α are nondegenerate. Define $P_n^\alpha = \{v \in V_n^\alpha \,|\, L_m v = 0 \,\text{for}\; m > 0\}$. For the rest of the section we fix an $\alpha \neq 0$. Choose a vector k in $L_\mathbb{R}$ that is linear independent from α and satisfies $\langle \alpha, k \rangle \neq 0$. If $L_\mathbb{R}$ has nonzero Witt index we impose the additional condition $k^2 = 0$. The existence of such a vector k can be proved by using Witt's theorem. Define operators $K_m = k(m)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$[K_m, K_n] = m\delta_{m+n,0}k^2 \qquad \text{(A.1)}$$

$$[K_m, L_n] \quad = \quad m K_{m+n} \,. \tag{A.2}$$

Let T^α be the subspace of P^α annihilated by all K_m, $m > 0$. It can be interpreted as the space of tranversal states with momentum α. The commutation relations imply $T^\alpha = \{v \in V^\alpha \,|\, L_1 v = L_2 v = K_1 v = 0\}$. L_0 defines a grading on T^α, i.e. $T^\alpha = \oplus_{n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} T^\alpha_n$ with $T^\alpha_n = \{v \in P^\alpha_n \,|\, K_m v = 0 \text{ for } m > 0\}$.

Lemma A.1
Let $v \in T^\alpha_{M+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$, $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $v \neq 0$. Then the vectors

$$L_{-1}^{\lambda_1} \ldots L_{-n}^{\lambda_n} K_{-1}^{\mu_1} \ldots K_{-m}^{\mu_m} v \tag{A.3}$$

are linearly independent.

Proof: cf. [S] p. 137.

If v and w are orthogonal vectors in $T^\alpha_{M+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$ then the vectors obtained by acting with L's and K's on them are clearly still orthogonal.

Lemma A.2
Let $v \in T^\alpha_{M+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$, $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $v^2 \neq 0$. Then the vectors

$$L_{-1}^{\lambda_1} \ldots L_{-n}^{\lambda_n} K_{-1}^{\mu_1} \ldots K_{-m}^{\mu_m} v \tag{A.4}$$

with $\sum r\lambda_r + \sum s\mu_s > 0$ span a nondegenerate subspace of V^α.

Proof: This is trivially true if $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ has Witt index zero. In the other case the vectors (A.4) can be ordered so that the matrix of inner products is upper triangular with nonzero diagonal elements (cf. [GSW] p. 104). The conditions $\langle \alpha, k \rangle \neq 0$ and $k^2 = 0$ enter into the proof. □

If v is an element of the subspace generated by the elements of the form (A.4) satisfying $L_n v = K_n v = 0$ for all $n > 0$ then $v = 0$ by the nondegeneracy of $\langle \, , \rangle$.

We recall a simple fact from linear algebra.

Lemma A.3
Let E be a finite dimensional real vectorspace with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form and F be subspace of E. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. *F is nondegenerate*
2. *the space $F^{\perp}$ of vectors orthogonal to F is nondegenerate*
3. *$E = F \oplus F^{\perp}$*

Now we prove

Lemma A.4
Let $N \geq 0$. Then $T^{\alpha}_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$ is nondegenerate and the vector space generated by

$$L_{-1}^{\lambda_1} \ldots L_{-n}^{\lambda_n} K_{-1}^{\mu_1} \ldots K_{-m}^{\mu_m} v$$

with $v \in T^{\alpha}_{M+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$ such that $v^2 \neq 0$ and $\sum r\lambda_r + \sum s\mu_s + M = N$ is $V^{\alpha}_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$.

Proof: Define $G^{\alpha}_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$ for $N > 0$ as the vector space generated by the elements $L_{-1}^{\lambda_1} \ldots L_{-n}^{\lambda_n} K_{-1}^{\mu_1} \ldots K_{-m}^{\mu_m} v$ with $v \in T^{\alpha}_{M+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$, $v^2 \neq 0$ and $\sum r\lambda_r + \sum s\mu_s + M = N$ where M runs from 0 to $N-1$. Put $G^{\alpha}_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} = 0$ for $N = 0$. We prove by induction that

$$V^{\alpha}_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} = T^{\alpha}_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} \oplus G^{\alpha}_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} \tag{A.5}$$

and

$$\left(T^{\alpha}_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}\right)^{\perp} = G^{\alpha}_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} \,. \tag{A.6}$$

The statement then follows from the previous lemma. It is easy to check the case $N = 0$. Let $w \in V^{\alpha}_{1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$. Then $w = s(-1) \otimes e^{\alpha}$. The conditions $L_n v = K_n v = 0$ for $n > 0$ are equivalent to $\langle s, r\rangle = \langle s, k\rangle = 0$. Hence $T^{\alpha}_{1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} = \{s(-1) \otimes e^{\alpha} \mid s \perp r \text{ and } s \perp k\}$ and $V^{\alpha}_{1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} = T^{\alpha}_{1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} \oplus (T^{\alpha}_{1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2})^{\perp}$. The elements $L_{-1}e^{\alpha} = r(-1) \otimes e^{\alpha}$ and $K_{-1}e^{\alpha} = k(-1) \otimes e^{\alpha}$ span $(T^{\alpha}_{1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2})^{\perp} = G^{\alpha}_{1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$. Since $T^{\alpha}_{1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$ is nondegenerate it possesses a basis consisting of elements with nonzero norm. This proves the assertions for $N = 1$. Now assume that they are true for $N' < N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_{-1} V^{\alpha}_{(N-1)+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} &\subset G^{\alpha}_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} \\ L_{-2} V^{\alpha}_{(N-2)+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} &\subset G^{\alpha}_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$K_{-1} V^{\alpha}_{(N-1)+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} \subset G^{\alpha}_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} \,.$$

Let $v \in V^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$ be orthogonal to $G^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$. Then $\langle v, L_{-1}V^\alpha_{(N-1)+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}\rangle = \langle L_1 v, V^\alpha_{(N-1)+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}\rangle = 0$ and $L_1 v = 0$ since $V^\alpha_{(N-1)+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$ is nondegenerate. In the same way $L_2 v = K_1 v = 0$. Hence $v \in T^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$ and $(G^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2})^\perp \subset T^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$. Clearly $T^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} \subset (G^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2})^\perp$ so that we have equality. $V^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$ is the sum of $G^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$ and $T^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$. By Lemma A.2 this sum is direct. Finally it is easy to see that $(T^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2})^\perp = G^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$. □

The number of partitions p_d into d colours is defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_d(n) q^n = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^m)^d}\,. \tag{A.7}$$

The first coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} p_d(0) &= 1 \\ p_d(1) &= d \\ p_d(2) &= 2d + \binom{d}{2} \\ p_d(3) &= d + 2d^2 + \binom{d}{3}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Let $1 \le c \le d-1$. Then directly from the definition of $p_d(n)$ we get

$$p_d(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_c(n-k) p_{d-c}(n)\,. \tag{A.8}$$

We now can calculate the dimensions of the vector spaces $V^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}, T^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$ and $P^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$. Let d be the rank of the lattice L. Then the dimension of $V^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$ is simply

$$\dim V^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} = p_d(N)\,. \tag{A.9}$$

We prove by induction that

$$\dim T^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} = p_{d-2}(N)\,. \tag{A.10}$$

This is obviously true for $N = 0$ and $N = 1$. $V^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$ is generated by vectors $L_{-1}^{\lambda_1} \dots L_{-n}^{\lambda_n} K_{-1}^{\mu_1} \dots K_{-m}^{\mu_m} v$, where v runs through an orthogonal basis of $T^\alpha_{M+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$ and $\sum r\lambda_r + \sum s\mu_s + M = N$. Hence

$$\dim V^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} = \sum_{M=0}^{N} \dim T^\alpha_{M+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} p_2(N-M)\,.$$

Suppose that (A.10) is true for $M < N$. Then

$$p_d(N) = \dim V^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} = \sum_{M=0}^{N} p_{d-2}(M) p_2(N-M) - p_{d-2}(N) + \dim T^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}\,.$$

This proves (A.10).

Since the spaces $T^\alpha_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$ are nondegenerate we can choose an orthogonal basis of T^α consisting of vectors which are eigenvectors of L_0 and have nonzero norm. By acting with L's and K's on this basis we get an orthogonal basis of V^α so that V^α is the direct sum of pairwise orthogonal Verma modules for the Virasoro algebra. We write these modules as $\mathbb{R}v_i \oplus C_i$ where v_i is the highest weight vector. Then the spaces C_i are nondegenerate since V^α is. Now let v be in P^α_n. We can write $v = \sum(\lambda_i v_i + c_i)$. The condition $L_n v = 0$ for $n > 0$ implies $L_n c_i = 0$ and $\langle c_i, C_i \rangle = 0$. Hence all the c_i are zero. It follows that v is in P^α_n if and only if v is the linear combination of some v_i with $L_0 v_i = n v_i$. Thus the dimension of P^α_n is equal to the number of highest weight vectors v_i with $L_0 v_i = n v_i$. Define $N = n - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2$. The highest weight vectors v_i are of the form $K^{\mu_1}_{-1} \ldots K^{\mu_m}_{-m} w$ where w is an element of an orthogonal basis of $T^\alpha_{M+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}$. The condition $L_0 v_i = n v_i$ is equivalent to $\sum s\mu_s + M = N$ so that the number of highest weight vectors v_i with $L_0 v_i = n v_i$ is given by

$$\sum_{M=0}^{N} \dim T^\alpha_{M+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2} p_1(N-M) = \sum_{M=0}^{N} p_{d-2}(M) p_1(N-M) = p_{d-1}(N)\,.$$

We have proven the main result of this section, namely

$$\dim P^\alpha_n = p_{d-1}(n - \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha^2)\,. \qquad \text{(A.11)}$$

A.2 The Neveu-Schwarz case

The Neveu-Schwarz case is completely analogous to the bosonic case so that we will only describe the modifications that must be made.

Let L be an integral nondegenerate lattice and V be the vertex algebra of the compactified Neveu-Schwarz superstring (cf. section 3.1). The α-subspace $V^\alpha = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \wedge^n(\hat{A}^-) \otimes S(\hat{h}^-) \otimes e^\alpha$ is a module for the Neveu-Schwarz algebra. We define a bilinear form $\langle\, , \rangle$ on V^αas above with the additional condition

$b(-r)^\dagger = b(r)$. On $V_{\overline{0}}$ this form coincides with the bilinear form introduced in section 3.2. The adjoints of the Neveu-Schwarz operators are given by $L_m^\dagger = L_{-m}$ and $G_r^\dagger = G_{-r}$. The physical subspace of conformal weight n and momentum α is $\mathsf{P}_n^\alpha = \{v \in V_n^\alpha \,|\, L_m v = 0,\, G_r v = 0 \text{ for all } m, r > 0\}$. Let α and k be as in the previous section and define operators $H_r = k(r), r \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}$. Apart from (A.1) and (A.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
[H_r, H_s] &= \delta_{r+s,0} k^2 \\
[H_r, K_m] &= 0 \\
[H_r, L_n] &= (r + \tfrac{n}{2}) H_{r+n} \\
[H_r, G_s]_+ &= K_{r+s} \\
[K_m, G_r] &= m H_{m+r} \,.
\end{aligned}$$

The tranversal states are the physical states annihilated by all K_m and H_r with $m, r > 0$. The commutation relations imply $\mathsf{T}^\alpha = \{v \in V^\alpha \,|\, G_{\frac{1}{2}} v = G_{\frac{3}{2}} v = H_{\frac{1}{2}} v = 0\}$. The lemmas from the previous section hold with the modification that the vectors (A.3) must be replaced by vectors of the form

$$G_{-1/2}^{\varepsilon_{1/2}} \dots G_{-r}^{\varepsilon_r} L_{-1}^{\lambda_1} \dots L_{-n}^{\lambda_n} H_{-1}^{\delta_1} \dots H_{-s}^{\delta_s} K_{-1}^{\mu_1} \dots K_{-m}^{\mu_m} v \,. \tag{A.12}$$

The fermionic occupation numbers ε_r and δ_s can only take the values zero or one.

To calculate the dimensions of the vector spaces $V_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}^\alpha$, $\mathsf{T}_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}^\alpha$ and $\mathsf{P}_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}^\alpha$ we define numbers $c_d(n)$ by

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty c_d(n) q^n = \prod_{m=1}^\infty \left(\frac{1 + q^{m-\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - q^m} \right)^d . \tag{A.13}$$

If the rank of the lattice is d then the dimension of $V_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}^\alpha$ is

$$\dim V_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}^\alpha = c_d(N) \tag{A.14}$$

and as in the bosonic case one shows that

$$\dim \mathsf{T}_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}^\alpha = c_{d-2}(N) \tag{A.15}$$

and

$$\dim \mathsf{P}_{N+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2}^\alpha = c_{d-1}(N) \,. \tag{A.16}$$

Bibliography

[Bo1] R. E. Borcherds, *Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **83** (1986), 3068-3071

[Bo2] R. E. Borcherds, *Generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, J. Algebra **115** (1988), 501-512

[Bo3] R. E. Borcherds, *The Monster Lie algebra*, Adv. Math. **83** (1990), 30-47

[Bo4] R. E. Borcherds, *Monstrous Moonshine and monstrous Lie superalgebras*, Invent. math. **109** (1992), 405-444

[Bo5] R. E. Borcherds, *A Characterization of Generalized Kac-Moody Algebras*, J. Algebra **174** (1995), 1073-1079

[Bo6] R. E. Borcherds, *The moduli space of Enriques surfaces and the fake monster Lie superalgebra*, Topology **35** (1996), 699-710

[DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators*, Birkhäuser, 1993

[FFR] A. J. Feingold, I. B. Frenkel, J. F. X. Ries, *Spinor Construction of Vertex Operator Algebras, Trality, and* $E_8^{(1)}$, Contemporary Mathematics Vol. **121** (1991), American Mathematical Society

[FHL] I. Frenkel, Y. Huang, J. Lepowsky, *On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 104 (1993)

[FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, A. Meurmann, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. 134, Academic Press, 1988

[G] P. Goddard, *Meromorphic conformal field theory*, In V. G. Kac, editor, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras and groups*, Adv. Ser. in Math. Phys., **7** (1989), World Scientific, 556-587

[Ge] R. W. Gebert, *Beyond Affine Kac-Moody Algebras in String Theory*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Hamburg (1994)

[GO] P. Goddard and D. Olive, *Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras in relation to quantum physics*, International Journal of Modern Physics **A 1** (1986), 303-414

[GSW] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring theory*, Vol. 1 & 2, Cambridge University Press, 1988

[GT] P. Goddard and C. B. Thorn, *Compatibility of the dual Pomeron with unitarity and the absence of ghosts in the dual resonance model*, Phys. Lett. B **40** (1972), 235-238

[Li1] H.-S. Li, *Symmetric invariant bilinear forms on vertex operator algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **96** (1994), 279-297

[Li2] H.-S. Li, *Local Systems of Vertex Operators, Vertex Superalgebras and Modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **109** (1996), 143-195

[K1] V. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Cambridge University Press, third edition, 1990

[K2] V. Kac, *Vertex Algebras for Beginners*, University Lecture Series Vol. 10, American Mathematical Society, 1996

[KR] V. Kac and A. Raina, *Bombay lectures on highest weight representations of infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, World Scientific, 1987

[KW] V. Kac and W. Wang, *Vertex Operator Superalgebras and Their Representations*, Contemporary Mathematics **175** (1994), 161-191

[NRS] N. R. Scheithauer, *Vertex algebras, Lie algebras and superstrings*, to appear in J. Algebra

[S] J. Scherk, *An introduction to the theory of dual models and strings*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **47** (1975), 123-164

[T] H. Tsukada, *Vertex operator superalgebras*, Comm. Algebra **18** (1990), 2249-2274

Acknowledgments

I want to thank Prof. H. Nicolai for interesting discussions, inspiring ideas and mental support.

It is a pleasure to thank Prof. R. E. Borcherds for stimulating discussions and valuable comments. I am also grateful to Prof. P. Slodowy, Dr. V. Schomerus and Dr. R. W. Gebert for helpful conversations.

There are some other people who have contributed in one or another way to this work. I only want to mention Dr. Y. Xylander and M. Meier-Schellersheim who always cared for a good mood in the study.

Last but not least I thank the University of Hamburg, the Richard-Winter-Stiftung and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financial support.